

# Family Homelessness in Prince George, Kamloops, Kelowna, Nelson and Nanaimo

Technical Appendices – September 2014

Prepared by: Social Planning and Research Council of BC (SPARC BC)

This project received funding from the Homelessness Partnering Secretariat, Human Resources and Skills Development Canada (HRSDC).



Family Homelessness Technical Appendices

## Appendix 1 Survey Instrument and Interview Guide for Homeless Families

## A place to belong: Pathways into and out of homelessness for families with children in Prince George, Kamloops, Kelowna, Nelson and Nanaimo

## **Client interview guide**

### Preamble (after consent form has been reviewed and signed)

SPARC BC, in partnership with UBC and SFU, has been awarded a grant from HRSDC to conduct an investigation of family homelessness in 5 small and mid-sized BC communities—Kamloops, Kelowna, Nanaimo, Nelson and Prince George. Family homelessness is a subset of hidden homelessness which can be defined as those individuals or house-holds "staying temporarily with another household and who do not have a regular address of their own where they have security of tenure".

Family homelessness is believed to be a significant social issue in many BC communities. Interviews will be conducted with adult members of family households which are homeless or at risk of homelessness. Interviews will not be conducted with child members of any household (under age 19).

Do you have any questions before we begin?

## **Family Profile**

1.	. Including yourself, how many people are currently in your household?							_			
2.	What is yo	ur ag	e?								
	18 or younger		19 to 24		25-29		30-34	35-39	40-49		50 or older

### 3. What is your gender?

□ Male □ Female □ Transgendered

## 4. What are the current ages of your child/ren?

	Child 1	Child 2 (if applicable)	Child 3 (if applicable)	Child 4 (if applicable)
0-5				
6-12				
13-17				
18 or older				

### 5. What are the genders of your child/ren?

	Child 1	Child 2 (if applicable)	Child 3 (if applicable)	Child 4 (if applicable)
Male				
Female				

#### 6. What is your current family and household structure?

- □ Single parent family
- □ Couple with child/ren
- Grandparent or other family non-parent
- □ Multi-generational family
- □ 2 or more households living together
- □ Guardian and child/ren
- Other\_\_\_\_\_

## 7. What is your current employment status?

- □ Employed full time
- □ Student
- □ Homemaker
- □ Unemployed/on leave
- □ Prefer not to say

#### 8. If employed, what type of job do you have? (check all that apply)

- □ Full time
- □ Part time
- □ Through labour ready/temp agencies
- □ On call
- □ Self employed Volunteer
- □ Prefer not to say
- 9. Are there other members of your household who work and what type of work do they do?
  - □ Employed full time
  - □ Student
  - □ Homemaker
  - □ Unemployed/on leave
  - □ Prefer not to say

## **Current Housing Situation**

#### 10. Where do you and your family currently live?

- □ No housing (living on the street)
- □ Emergency shelter
- □ Transition house
- Emergency hotel covered through social assistance
- Single room occupancy hotel (SRO) or room in rooming house
- Living in ad hoc arrangements (staying with family or friends)
- □ Social or subsidized housing
- □ Apartment/Suite/House
- □ Other

#### 11. How long have you lived in your current arrangements?

- □ Less than a week
- $\Box$  One week to one month
- □ One month to 3 months
- □ 4 months to 6 months

- □ 7 months to 1 year
- □ 1 year to 2 years
- □ More than 2 years
- □ Unsure
- 12. How much longer do you think you will be able to stay living where you are currently living?
  - □ Less than a week
  - $\Box$  One week to one month
  - □ One month to 3 months
  - □ 4 months to 6 months
  - 6 months to 1 year
  - □ 1 year to 2 years
  - □ More than 2 years
  - □ Unsure
  - Depends on factors beyond my control

#### 13. Do you consider your current living arrangements to be temporary?

- □ Yes
- 🗆 No
- □ Unsure
- 14. Do you feel that you may be at risk of losing your housing and becoming homeless?
  - □ Yes, very much at risk
  - □ Yes, somewhat at risk
  - □ No, not at risk
  - □ Prefer not to say

#### 15. If you were to become homeless, what would you and your family do?

- Go to an emergency shelter
- □ Stay with family or friends
- □ Find a room or hotel
- □ Unsure

- 16. Are you on a waiting list for subsidized (social) housing)?
  - □ Yes
  - 🗆 No
  - □ Unsure

#### 17. If yes, how long have you been waiting?

- □ Less than 6 months
- 6 months to 1 year
- □ 1 year to 3 years
- □ More than 3 years
- □ Unsure

#### 18. What type of housing did you have immediately before moving into your current housing?

- □ No housing (living on the street)
- □ Emergency shelter
- □ Transition house
- Emergency hotel covered through social assistance
- □ Single room occupancy hotel (SRO) or room in rooming house
- Living in ad hoc arrangements (staying with family or friends)
- □ Apartment/Suite/House
- □ Other

#### 19. How long did you live there?

20. What was the amount of rent that you paid? \_\_\_\_\_\_

#### 21. Why did you move out?

22. How would you rate your current housing situation compared to your previous situation?

- □ It is a lot better
- □ It is somewhat better
- □ It is about the same
- □ It is somewhat worse

- □ It is a lot worse
- □ Unsure
- □ Prefer not to say
- 23. Have you ever stayed in an emergency shelter in the past twelve (12) months?
  - 🗆 No
  - □ Yes, once
  - □ Yes, more than once
- 24. Have you been without your own housing and stayed with family or friends or other kinds of arrangements to ensure housing for your family in the past twelve (12) months?
  - 🗆 No
  - □ Yes, once
  - □ Yes, more than once
- 25. How many times have your moved in the past year?
  - □ We have not moved in the past year
  - □ Once
  - □ Twice
  - □ 3 times
  - □ More than 3 times
  - □ Unsure
- 26. Please indicate the municipality in which you currently live\_

## **Housing Costs**

- 27. Do you pay the entire rent where you are currently living or do you share the rent with others who are not part of your household?
  - □ I do not currently pay rent
  - □ I share the cost of the rent with one other household/person
  - □ I share the cost of the rent with two or more other households/persons
  - Other\_\_\_\_\_
- 28. How many bedrooms are there in your current housing?

- 29. How much rent do you personally pay where you are living?
- 30. What is the total monthly income for you and your household? \_\_\_\_\_\_
- 31. What are your primary sources of income? (check all that apply)
  - □ Income from employment
  - Employment Insurance (EI)
  - □ Social assistance
  - □ BC Disability Benefits
  - GAIN/Federal Disability Pension
  - □ Student loans
  - □ Retirement income and private pensions
  - □ Other\_\_\_\_\_
  - □ Prefer not to say
- 32. If employed, what was your before tax monthly income from this work last month?
  - □ Less than \$500/month
  - □ Less than \$1,00/month
  - □ Less than \$1,600/month
  - □ \$1,600-\$2,500/month
  - □ \$2,500/month or more
  - □ Prefer not to say
- 33. Have you experienced any major changes in your life in the last twelve (12) months that have had an impact on your housing situation? (e.g. health, employment or income, relationship change/breakdown, household size, landlord pressures)
  - Yes if yes, please describe
  - 🗆 No

## **Programs and Service Use**

- 34. What are the types of programs and services that you or your family members currently use or have used within the past twelve (12) months?
  - □ Legal assistance
  - □ Counseling and support for domestic violence
  - □ Life skills training
  - □ Budgeting assistance
  - □ Employment assistance/job finding
  - □ Housing assistance
  - □ Parenting support
  - □ Conflict resolution/mediation support with landlords
  - □ Conflict resolution/mediation support with roommates
  - □ Transportation assistance
  - □ Food, clothing or other essentials
  - □ Other
- 35. Thinking of your basic needs (food, clothing, transportation and shelter) and the needs of your children do you feel that your household's basic needs are currently being met?
  - □ Fully met
  - □ Mostly met
  - □ Adequately met
  - □ Not really met
  - □ Not at all met
  - □ Unsure
  - □ Prefer not to say
- 36. Do(es) your child/ren regularly attend an after school or day care program?
  - □ Yes
  - 🗆 No
- 37. Who provides the after school or day care program for your child/children?
  - □ Day care centre

- □ Community centre
- □ Friends or relatives
- □ Other
- Don't know
- 38. In the last 30 days was there ever a period where your child/ren did not have enough to eat?
  - □ Yes
  - 🗆 No
  - □ Unsure
- 39. In the past twelve (12) months has your child/ren received care from any of the following?
  - □ Overnight hospital stay
  - □ Hospital emergency room
  - □ Hospital outpatient clinic
  - □ Community health clinic
  - □ Visiting health nurse
  - □ Other

#### 40. Do(es) your child/ren require any medication(s)?

- □ Yes if yes, please describe \_\_\_\_\_
- 🗆 No
- □ Prefer not to say
- 41. Do you or any other members of your household have any of the following health conditions (check all that apply)?
  - □ Medical condition
  - □ Physical disability
  - □ Addiction
  - □ Mental illness
  - □ Prefer not to say

## Compromises, Trade-Offs and Pressures

42.	2. Did you have enough money to pay your bills las	Did you have enough money to pay your bills last month?							
	□ Yes, all of them								
	□ Yes, some of them								
	No, I didn't								
43.	3. If no, what did you do?								
44.	4. During the past twelve (12) months, did you have	e to choose between paying the rent and buying food?							
	□ Yes								
	□ No								
	Prefer not to say								
45.	5. Have you had to compromise on the quality or qua	ntity of your diet at least once in the past twelve (12) months?							
	□ Yes if yes, please describe								
	□ No								
	Prefer not to say								
46.	5. How would you describe your general sense of b	elonging or connectedness to your community?							
	□ Very strong								
	Somewhat strong								
	Somewhat weak								
	□ Very weak								
	Prefer not to say								
47.	7. Do you have a family or friends in the community o	r region that you feel that you can count on in an emergency?							
	□ Yes Unsure/haven't asked for assistance								
	□ No								
	Prefer not to say								

48. If yes, what kind(s) of support do you feel they are/would be able to provide? (check all that apply)

- □ Housing support
- □ Financial support
- □ Child care support
- □ Emotional support
- □ Transportation support
- Other\_\_\_\_\_
- □ Prefer not to say

49. Have you experienced discrimination from a landlord in the past?

- Yes if yes, please specify the nature \_\_\_\_\_\_
- 🗆 No
- □ Prefer not to say
- 50. What is the single most important thing that you need help with right now?

## **Education and Ethnicity**

51.	Wha	at would you say is the ethnic/cultural background of your family?
		Caucasian
		Visible Minority
		Aboriginal
		Mixed Ethnicity
		Other
52.	Are	you a recent immigrant or refugee?
52.	Are □	you a recent immigrant or refugee? Not applicable
52.	_	
52.		Not applicable
52.		Not applicable New immigrant

53.	Wha	at is the highest level of education that you have completed?
		Elementary school (Grades 1-7)
		High school
		Trade or vocational school
		College
		University
		Have not completed any schooling
		Prefer not to say
54.	Are	you currently enrolled in any training courses or programs?
		Yes, if yes, please describe
		No
55.	Doy	you have any final comments or thoughts you wish to share with us?

Thank you for taking the time to complete the survey

Appendix C: Service Agency Representative Interview Guide

## Appendix 2 Survey Instrument and Interview Guide for Community Stakeholders

## A place to belong: Pathways into and out of homelessness for families with children in Prince George, Kamloops, Kelowna, Nelson and Nanaimo

## Stakeholder interview guide

#### Background

SPARC BC has been awarded a grant from HRSDC to conduct an investigation of family homelessness in five (5) smaller urban centres in BC—Kamloops, Kelowna, Nanaimo, Nelson and Prince George. While one of the objectives of the research is to establish a definition of family homelessness based on a review of current literature, it is believed that an essential element of any final definition of family homelessness will be the inclusion of dependent children within the household. In addition, much of the problem of family homelessness is anticipated to be a subset of hidden homelessness which can be defined as those individuals or households "staying temporarily with another household and who do not have a regular address of their own where they have security of tenure".

Family homelessness is hypothesized to frequently be 'hidden' in small urban centres because of a frequent lack of emergency shelter spaces, particularly for families. However, relatively little is known about this population in small and mid sized communities—something this research has been designed to address. In addition to interviews with adult members of family households which are homeless, interviews with key stakeholders will also be conducted as part of this research to develop a more complete picture of the problem of family homelessness in these communities. For the purpose of this research initiative, interviews will not be conducted with children or dependent members of the family households that are interviewed.

#### Preamble

You have been selected to participate in this interview because of your involvement in and/or knowledge of service agencies and issues around homelessness in your community. The interview will take between 30 and 45 minutes. Your responses will be treated anonymously and confidentially. The results of this interview will be used to build a more complete picture of the nature of family homelessness in smaller urban centers. The results of the research will be used develop strategies and priorities for addressing the needs of homeless families.

In order to make sure your responses are reflected accurately in our findings, we would like to record this interview. Do we have your permission to record this interview? (If no, then state the interview will not be recorded and summary notes will be taken instead.)

All the information you provide will be strictly confidential and will be reported in a way that protects your identity and privacy. Your participation is entirely voluntary and you may end the interview at any time.

Do you agree to participate?

Could you please confirm your verbal consent?

## Interview Guide - Stakeholder Interview Family Homelessness

**Organization and Respondent** 

Name of organization\_\_\_\_\_

Position in the organization	

Role: Please describe the key functions and activities associated with your role in your organization?

## **Community and Service Agency Characteristics**

#### 1. How many full and part time staff work in your organization?

Full-time	Part time

- 2. Which identify which of the following best describes your organization's profile?
  - □ Non-profit
  - □ Government agency
  - □ Private, for profit
  - □ Other
- 3. Please indicate the type of groups/organizations that you represent (check all that apply):
  - □ First Nations
  - □ Social development non-profit organization
  - Economic development non-profit organization

- Environmental non-profit organization
- Arts and culture non-profit organization
- Government (federal, provincial, regional, municipal)
- □ Business
- Health (health authority, hospital, hospice)
- □ Private, for profit
- □ Citizen-based organization
- □ Faith-based/religious organization
- Other\_\_\_\_\_

#### 4. What are your primary sources of funding?

- □ Private charitable donations
- Government-funding for programs and services delivered
- □ Government grants
- Community foundations (programs and grants)
- □ Social enterprise
- Other\_\_\_\_\_
- 5. What types of services or programs does your organization offer? Approximately how many different clients would your organization serve in a **month**?

Type of Program	Number of different client seen each month	s How often clients use the program in a month

6. What is the approximate size of your annual budget?

7. How do clients typically become aware of the programs and services you offer? □ Participation in current programs and services Referrals from friends □ Referrals from other agencies □ Agency outreach into the community □ Drop-in services □ Other\_\_\_\_\_ 8. Are there instances where you work directly in providing services and supports to families who are homeless? Yes □ No □ Only indirectly □ It is difficult to know 9. Are there instances where you work directly in providing services and supports to families who you consider to be "at risk" of becoming homeless? □ Yes □ No □ Only indirectly □ It is difficult to know 10. How frequently do you provide services and supports to families who are either homeless or "at risk" of becoming homeless? □ Daily □ Weekly □ Monthly □ Only occasionally 11. From your perspective, what are the major types of services and supports that these families typically need?

- 12. Are there specific groups or individuals in the community (target populations) that your agency is mandated to serve?
  - □ No (all populations)
  - □ People living on low income
  - People who are homeless (persons who do not have a permanent residence to which they can return whenever they so choose)?
  - Aboriginal (First Nations, Metis people, and Inuit)
  - □ Immigrants and newcomers to Canada
  - □ Families and children
  - Transition-aged youth (i.e. 16-24)
  - □ Women
  - □ Seniors
  - Other\_\_\_\_\_
- 13. Are there services related to poverty and/or homelessness that your clients need which are not available from your organization?
  - Yes-if yes what are these client needs and what are the lacking services?

🗆 No

- 14. Are there services related to poverty and/or homelessness that your family clients need which are not available in your community or region that you believe would be important in terms of helping to address/ alleviate family homeless?
  - □ Yes-if yes, what are these client needs and what are the lacking services?

□ No

- 15. Do you believe there are families in your community who need supports and services who are not accessing the services and supports that they need and which are available?
  - □ Yes if yes, please describe \_\_\_\_\_

🗆 No

# B. About the Families and Individuals Relying on Your Programs and Services

- 16. Generally, what number of families that you serve do you think have experienced homelessness in their past and/or would be at risk of becoming homeless?\_\_\_\_\_
- 17. Among the families that you serve are there specific groups who you believe may be at greater risk of homelessness and who would benefit from targeted programs and supports?
  - □ Families living on low income
  - □ Working poor families
  - □ Single parent families
  - □ Aboriginal families
  - □ New immigrant families
  - Other\_\_\_\_\_
- 18. Are there specific programs and supports that would be helpful in terms of helping vulnerable families to exit homelessness?

#### 19. What do you feel are the key or root causes behind family homelessness?

## 20. Which of the following services are available in your community and which can help to address family homelessness?

Type of Service	ls/would be important service	Service currently provided	Service still needed
Food (prepared meals or food supplies)			
Money management or budgeting			
Household skills (cooking, cleaning)			
Personal relations-counseling/conflict resolution			
Parental training			
Assistance in locating/applying for housing			
Financial assistance with rent and/or utilities			
Assistance with landlord/tenant relations			
Help enrolling children in school/daycare			
Early childhood education			
Tutoring for school children			
ESL courses			
Basic literacy training			
Basic skills training/adult education courses			
Job finding/retention skills (e.g. for job interviews)			
Job referral or placement			
Training for specific jobs			
Vocational rehabilitation			
Health care assessment and general health care			
Prenatal care			
Acute care			
Dental care			
General health education			
Substance abuse education			
Alcohol/drug testing			
Detox services			
Alcohol drug treatment (outpatient/residential)			
Mental health assessment			
Mental health education			
Therapy/counseling			
Child care			
Domestic violence counseling			
Legal assistance			
Other			

## C. Family Homelessness

It is often difficult to measure the actual size of any homeless population. This may be particularly true of families who may work to find temporary or ad hoc arrangements in order to avoid absolute homelessness or the more extreme expressions of homelessness. In this way, the problems and challenges that they face may be more hidden.

21. Does your organization have a formal definition of family homelessness or how would you characterize or describe family homelessness if you were working to design programs and services to meet the specific needs of vulnerable and at risk families?

- 22. From an agency or service provider perspective, please identify the three (3) programs, services or policy changes that you believe would best meet the needs of vulnerable families and children in order to prevent family homelessness.
- <u>1.</u> <u>2.</u> <u>3.</u>
- 23. Do you have any final comments you wish to add?

Thank you for your time!

## Appendix 3 Comprehensive Need and Demand Analysis for the Study Communities

## A.1. Housing Need and Demand in Prince George

This housing need and demand review identifies key measures of housing need in the City of Prince George and the Fraser Fort George Regional District as set out in the "Housing Need and Demand Study Template" developed by the BC Non Profit Housing Association (BCNPHA) and BC Housing.

## **Key Components**

Key elements in this report include measures related to current housing needs, estimated future demand as well as an analysis of the existing inventory of housing in the community.

## A. Current Needs

This section provides an overview of existing and emerging needs in Prince George. The information reported in this section includes key social, demographic and economic data related to existing and future housing demand.

## (a) Population

Prince George is a mid-sized city located in northern BC. At the time of the 2011 Census, there were 71,974 individuals living in Prince George.

While Prince George experienced modest growth between 2006 and 2011, the Region of Fraser Fort George experienced a slight (0.4%) drop in its population.

Between 2006 and 2011, the population of the City of Prince George grew by almost 1,000 individuals (993 people or 1.4%). At the same time, the population of the Fraser Fort George Region dropped by 385 people (-0.4%). Outside of the City of Prince George, the rest of the Region lost a total of 1,378 people. Accordingly, all growth in the Region was concentrated in the City of Prince George.

As the City of Prince George continues to grow, it is likely that this growth will create increased housing demand.

## (b) Age Profile

Seniors and near seniors represent a smaller proportion of households living in Prince George compared to the Province as a whole. While 11.6% of Prince George residents of seniors this is less than the 15.7% reported across BC.

At the same time, nearly 1 in 3 individuals living in the City of Prince George was under the age of 25 (32.6%). This is higher than the comparable rate of 28.0% seen across the Province.

In looking at the general age profile of households living in Prince George, the 2011 Census/National Household Survey reported that less than 1 in 5 households (18.1%) was led by someone 65 or older while an additional 18.7% of households was led by someone between the ages of 55 and 64. Those between the ages of 35 and 54 accounted for 41.8% of household heads. More than 1 in 5 (21.4%) of households in Prince George were led by someone under the age of 35 which is significantly higher than the Provincial average of 16.7% of households with a head under the age of 35.

### (c) Income Profile

The median household income in the City of Prince George in 2011 (2010 incomes) was 5.5% higher than the median household income for BC (\$63,653 compared to \$60,333 across BC).

The median household income in the Region was \$63,854 which was marginally higher (by \$201) that the median income in the City. Interestingly, the average income in Prince George is lower than the average across BC suggesting fewer high income households in Prince George. The median household income for single person households in Prince George was \$30,667 which is 1.8% less than the median across BC (\$31,236).

Of the 29,260 households in the City of Prince George 17,925 (61.3%) were led by a single household maintainer. Some of these households will be single senior-led households while others will be single young adults. However, there are also 3,040 single parent family households living in the community (8.1% of total households).

The 2011 Census also noted that there were 4,040 households living in the City of Prince George who had an annual income of less than \$20,000. This represents 13.8% or almost 1 in 7 households living in the community.

The 2011 Census reported that there were 11,295 individuals (15.7%) living in the City of Prince George who were living in poverty in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on Statistics Canada Low Income Measure After Tax (LIM-AT)<sup>1</sup>. Across the Fraser Fort George Region a total of 14,105 people (15.5%) were living in low income.

## (d) Labour Force and Employment

The overall level of labour force participation in the City of Prince George was 69.0% which was higher than the Provincial rate of 64.6%. The employment rate was also somewhat higher than the rate seen in the Province as a whole (62.2% versus 59.5% across BC). Correspondingly, there was a lower prevalence of part-time employment reported for Prince George when compared to the Province (19.8% compared to 21.9% across BC).

In 2011, there were 39,950 individuals living in the City of Prince George 15 or older in 2011 who were part of the labour force.

The median employment income in the City of Prince George was \$44,412 in 2011 (2010 incomes). This is almost \$5,000 or 9.6% less than the median employment income reported for the Province (\$49,143).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>LIM-AT means "low income measure (after tax) and is a measure that was developed by Statistics Canada to track and report on poverty. LIM-AT reports on the number of households (before and after tax) who have an income which falls below 50% of the median household income while adjusting for difference in household sizes. In 2011, the income cut-off for a single person household based on LIM-AT was an annual household income of \$19,460 after-tax while the income cut-off for a two person household was \$25,721. For a family of three or four the income cut-off was between \$33,706 and \$38,920.

Of the 43,100 individuals in the City of Prince George who were 15 or older and who were eligible to work, 29,135 reported that they worked full-time in 2010. This represents 67.6% of the work force as compared to 72.8% across the Province as a whole. There were also 7,895 individuals living in Prince George who reported that they worked part time. This represents 19.8% of the work force.

Of those living in Prince George and who were in the labour force, the 2011 National Household Survey reported that they worked an average of 43.7 weeks in 2010. This is relatively aligned with the rate reported for the Province as a whole (43.9 weeks).

While having employment can help provide increased economic security and stability, single person households (with their dependence on a single income) can experience a higher degree of housing stress and financial insecurity.

Across single person households in Prince George in 2011, the median household income was \$30,667 while the average household income was \$40,398. While the median income was marginally lower than the comparable Provincial median income for single person households, the average incomes in Prince George were marginally higher. The median income for single person households was 1.8% lower (\$27,843 in Prince George versus \$31,236 across BC) while the average income was \$133 higher than the Provincial average (\$40,398 compared to \$40,265 across BC).

### (e) Housing

#### i) Household Size and Composition

The 2011 Census reported that there were 29,260 households living in the City of Prince George in 2011. Of these households, 27.4% were single person households, marginally lower than the average across BC (28.3%). An additional 35.2% were 2 person households while 37.4% were from households with 3 or more individuals.

#### ii) Housing Types

The 18,045 single detached housing units in the City of Prince George comprise a majority (61.7%) of the entire housing stock (29,260 units) and is significantly higher than the Provincial average (47.7% of the total stock). Apartment units make up 15.5% of the total stock, while 15.8% of the units were row house, townhouse or duplex units (4,620 units). There were also 2,060 households living in manufactured homes/moveable dwellings (7.0% of the entire housing stock) which is significantly higher than the rate of 2.7% seen across the Province.

#### iii) Condition of the Stock

Of the 29,260 housing units in Prince George, 12.1% were built in or before 1960 compared to 16.0% across BC. However, well more than half (54.1%) of the stock was built between 1961 and 1980. An additional 14.4% was built between 1991 and 2001. The years between 2001 and 2011 saw a slow rate of residential construction in Prince George with only 6.6% of the housing stock in Prince George built during this period compared to a rate of 16.6% across the Province.

The 2011 National Household Survey showed that the large majority of the housing stock in Prince George is in good repair. Some 26,955 units (92.1% of the housing stock) were identified as requiring only regular maintenance or minor repairs, while 2,305 units (7.9% of the total housing stock) were in need of major repairs. Across the Province 7.2% of the housing stock was in need of major repairs.

#### iv) Tenure

## Owners

Of the 29,260 households living in Prince George, 69.7% or 20,375 households were owners while 8,840 households (30.3%) were renters. This ratio of owners to renters closely mirrors that seen across the Province at large.

The median monthly payment for owners living in Prince George in 2011 was \$986 per month (2010 rates) based on information reported in the 2011 Census.

At \$986 the monthly cost of housing for owners living in Prince George is slightly lower than the comparable median monthly owners' payments reported across the Province (\$1,023). A majority of owner households in Prince George (62.4%) carried a mortgage compared to 57.3% of owners across BC.

## Renters

Roughly 3 in 10 households in Prince George are renters (30.3%). This translates into 8,840 households. Of the renter households living in the City of Prince George, the median monthly shelter cost was \$749 which is lower than the median monthly shelter costs of \$903 across BC.

In 2013, CMHC reported average monthly rents of \$711 for the City of Prince George and a vacancy rate of 3.8% across all units. The average rent in 2013 is slightly higher than in 2012 (\$692) while the 2013 vacancy rate is slightly lower than the 2012 vacancy rate (4.0%). It should also be noted that the vacancy rate for 1-bedroom units is 4.2%. This has increased from a rate of 3.6% in the previous year.

## (f) Housing market conditions

## (i) Ownership

The rate of ownership in Prince George is 69.7% which is almost identical to that seen across the Province (69.8%). The 2011 National Household Survey reported an average dwelling value of \$241,240 for ownership housing in Prince George (2010 values) which is less than half (44.4%) of the Provincial average (\$543,635). Similarly, the median dwelling value of a unit in Prince George is \$229,925 which is just more than half (51.2%) of the Provincial median. It should be kept in mind, however, that the Provincial average and median prices reflect the particularly high real estate prices that can be seen in the Lower Mainland area of the Province.

## (ii) Rental

The 2011 National Household Survey identified a total of 8,840 renter households living in Prince George. Most of these households live in either purpose-built rental housing or social housing. In addition, some renters in Prince George live in rented secondary suites or single detached homes.

The 2011 National Household Survey showed that 12.6% of renter households living in the community were living in subsidized housing. This translates into 1,078 households living in subsidized housing in Prince George.

This number of subsidized renters is a relatively new measure reported by Statistics Canada as part of the National Household Survey and requires some analysis in order to fully understand the implications. One important result is

that it is possible to see that the proportion of Prince George residents receiving some level of housing assistance (12.6%) was slightly lower than the rate across the Province as a whole (13.5%).

### **Private Rental Housing**

The 2011 Census noted that there are 8,840 renter households living in Prince George. CMHC's Rental Market Report (2013) shows 3,221 private apartment rental housing units in the Prince George CA. The average monthly rent as reported by CMHC for a purpose-built rental unit in Prince George is \$711. For a household to be able to carry the cost of this housing without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing costs, they would need an annual income of \$28,440.

In looking at the rent ranges in the Prince George CA, CMHC reported an average market rent of \$553 per month for a bachelor unit, and \$634 per month for a 1-bedroom unit. While these rents are relatively affordable, it is important to note that they are not affordable to households earning a minimum wage, or relying on income assistance.

Similarly, rents at this level would be challenging for low income single seniors relying on OAS/GIS.

## (g) Housing Affordability Challenges

The 2011 Census also reported that 43.8% of all renters living in Prince George were spending 30% or more of their income on their housing costs in 2010. This translates into 3,872 renter households. This is lower than the rate seen across BC (45.3%).

At the time of the 2006 Census, 13.2% of all renter households in the City of Prince George (1,095 households) were spending 50% or more of their income on their housing costs. These households are considered to face extreme affordability challenges and are at increased risk of losing their housing or becoming homeless. An additional 105 renter households across the Fraser Fort George Region spend 50% or more of their income on their housing costs (12.8% of renter households across the Region). Of the 2,285 households across the region who are in this situation, 91.3% live in the City of Prince George.

## (h) Vulnerable and At Risk Households

The 2011 Census reported that 11,295 individuals (15.7%) living in Prince George are in low income based on LIM-AT. This is slightly lower than the rate of 16.4% seen across the Province.

This includes 3,055 children and youth under the age of 18 (19.5%) who are living in families that are in poverty, and 1,180 children who are under the age of 6 (22.6%). It also includes 1,105 seniors 65 years or older (20.8%). While the rates of low income in Prince George are higher for children under 6 and for those 6-18 when compared to the Province as a whole, the rate of low income for seniors is marginally lower in Prince George when compared to seniors across the Province.

Aboriginal people are frequently over-represented among those who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. Data from the 2011 Census and National Household Survey shows that Aboriginal people account for 13.6% of the population in Prince George and 12.9% of the population within the Region. This translates into 9,065 Aboriginal residents in the City and 11,635 in the Region. In looking at ways to effectively respond to the needs of Aboriginal people and

communities, it is important to ensure that the services and supports that are provided are culturally responsive and that the history of Aboriginal people and communities in BC is recognized.

## Conclusions

The review of the housing need and demand indicators and community research as set out in this report suggests that there are significant gaps in the continuum of housing and services in the City of Prince George and the Fraser Fort George Region. This includes gaps on the supply-side (a shortage of units that are affordable to households living in the community) and on the demand-side (targeted housing and supports to better meet the specific needs of vulner-able and at risk groups). All of the research suggests that there are a number of families and individuals in the Fraser Fort George Region who are facing real challenges in finding and keeping suitable housing and who do not have the resources they need to find housing in the private rental market without some type of assistance and support.

## **Selected References**

Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation, Rental Market Report (Fall 2013)

Statistics Canada. 2011 Census

Statistics Canada 2011. National Household Survey

## SOCIO DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC PROFILE

POPULATION AND HOL	JSEHOLD GROW	TH		
	2001	2006	2011	
Province	3,907,738	4,113,487	4,400,057	The 2011 Census shows that the population in the City of Prince George
Increase/Decrease		205,749	286,570	increased by 993 individuals or 1.4% over the previous 5 years.
% Increase	5.3	7.0		However, despite this gain, the Fraser-Fort George Region experienced
Fraser Fort George Region	95,317	92,264	91,879	a slight loss of population of less than 1% (385 individuals).
Increase/Decrease		-3,053	-385	Both the City and the Region lost net population between 2001 and
% Change		-3.2	-0.4	2006.
Prince George	72,406	70,981	71,974	
Increase/Decrease	-1,425	993		
°‰ Change	-2.0	1.4		
HOUSEHOLD GROWTH				
	2001	2006	2011	
Province	1,534,335	1,643,150	1,764,635	The number of households in Prince George has also continued to grow.
Increase/Decrease		108,815	121,485	
% Increase		6.6%	7.4%	Between 2006 and 2011 the number of households in Prince George
Fraser Fort George Region	35,960	36,455	37,333	grew by 1,056 households. This represents an increase of 3.6% and is an
Increase/Decrease		495	878	indicator of on-going housing demand.
% Change		1.4	2.4	
Prince George	27,600	28,205	29,261	
Increase/Decrease		605	1,056	
% Change		2.1	3.6	

POPULATION								
	Provi	nce	Fraser-Fort	George	Prince G	eorge		
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Total	4,400,055	100.0	91,880	100.0	71,975	100.0		
Under 25	1,232,350	28.0	29,010	31.6	23,445	32.6		
25 – 34	564,765	12.8	11,585	12.6	9,725	13.5		
35 – 44	594,635	13.5	12,570	13.7	9,850	13.7		
45 – 54	705,210	16.0	15,210	16.6	11,240	15.6		
55 – 64	614,375	14.0	12,735	13.9	9,330	13.0		
65+	688,715	15.7	10,775	11.7	8,375	11.6		
HOUSEHO	LD							
	Provi	nce	Fraser-Fort George		Prince George			
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Total	1,764,640	100.0	37,310	100.0	29,260	100.0		
Under 25	57,815	3.3	1,725	4.6	1,550	5.3		
25 – 34	235,955	13.4	5,435	14.6	4,700	16.1		
35 – 44	308,825	17.5	7,000	18.8	5,560	19.0		
45 – 54	396,785	22.5	8,905	23.9	6,665	22.8		
55 – 64	354,395	20.1	7,375	19.8	5,470	18.7		
65+	410,865	23.3	6,870	18.4	5,310	18.1		

## POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD AGE PROFILE

The 2011 Census shows that the age profile of the residents of Prince George is generally comparable to that of the Province as a whole with some interesting exceptions. Specifically, the proportion of residents under 25 is higher in Prince George (33% compared to 28% across the Province) while there are fewer seniors in Prince George (12% compared to 16% across the Province).

The 2011 Census shows that the Fraser Fort George Region has slightly fewer residents under 35 compared to the City of Prince George with 32% of the regional population under the age of 35 (compared to 33% in the City), while most other age cohorts are comparable.

The City of Prince George has a greater concentration of younger households when compared to the Province as a whole (21% of Prince George households are headed by someone under 35 compared to only 17% across the Province).

29

## FAMILY AND HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

#### NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLD MAINTAINERS Fraser-Fort George Province Prince George # % # # % % 1,764,635 100.0 37,310 100.0 29,260 100.0 Total 1 Household Maintainer 1.038.910 58.9 22,550 60.4 17.925 61.3 673.945 10,665 36.4 2 Household Maintainers 38.2 37.5 14,005 2.9 750 2.0 670 2.3 3 or more Household Maintainers 51.775 HOUSEHOLD SIZE Fraser-Fort George Prince George Province # % # # % % Total 100.0 37.340 100.0 29,255 100.0 1.764.640 1 Person 27.4 498.925 28.3 9.820 26.3 8.025 35.2 2 People 613,270 34.8 36.7 10,300 13,695 3 People 264,135 5,945 4,720 15.0 15.9 16.1 4 People 237,725 13.5 5,145 13.8 13.9 4,060 5 People 91,600 5.2 1,875 5.0 5.1 1,480 2.3 6 or more People 58,985 3.3 860 2.3 670 FAMILY/HOUSEHOLD ARRANGEMENTS (CENSUS FAMILY AND NON-CENSUS FAMILY) Province Fraser-Fort George Prince George # % # % # % 37.340 100.0 29,255 Total 1.764.640 100.0 100.0 **Census Families** 1,183,075 67.0 25,735 68.9 19,650 52.6 Single parent Families 143,445 8.1 3,560 9.5 3.040 8.1 Non Family Households 9,825 498,925 33.0 26.3 8,025 21.5 5.3 Multi-Family Households 141,600 8.0 2,360 6.3 1,985

While the difference is modest, there are more households with a single household maintainer in Prince George than across the Region or the Province (61.3% in the City compared to 58.9% across the Province.

The City of Prince George has a slightly lower proportion of single person households than is seen across the Province with 27.4% of all households in Prince George being 1 person households compared to 28.3% across the Province. More than 1 in 3 households (35.2%) in the City of Prince George are two person households while 37.4% are households of 3 or more.

The City of Prince George has a significantly smaller proportion of census family households (52.6% of all households) when compared with the Region (68.9%) or the Province (67.0%). At the same time, Prince George has a larger proportion of single parent families (10% of all households) compared with 8% across the Region and the Province as a whole. The City also has fewer non-family households (21.5%) compared with the Region (26.3%) and the Province (33.0%).

## LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATION

#### LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATION

	Province	Region	City
Individuals 15 or older in the labour market	2,354,245	51,355	39,950
Participation rate	64.6	69.2	69
Employment rate	59.5	62.2	62.2
Unemployment rate	7.8	10	9.9
Average Weeks Worked (2010)	43.9	43.8	43.7
Worked Full-Time (2010)	1,713,540	38,405	29,810
% reporting full-time employment	72.8	74.8	74.6
Worked Part-Time (2010)	516,465	10,190	7,895
% reporting part-time employment	21.9	19.8	19.8
Median commuting time (in min.)	20.4	15.2	15.1
Average Employment Income	\$58,016	\$55,854	\$56,549
Median Employment Income	\$49,143	\$51,617	\$51,872
HOUSEHOLD INCOME			
	Average	Income	Median Income
Province	\$77,	378	\$60,333
Fraser Fort George Region	\$74,	554	\$63,854
City of Prince George	\$74,	\$63,653	
SINGLE PERSON HOUSEHOLDS	5		
	Average	Income	Median Income
Province	\$40,	265	\$31,236
Fraser Fort George Region	\$40,	328	\$32,394
City of Prince George	\$40,	398	\$30,667

The employment rate in Prince George is somewhat higher compared to that of the Province, including those reporting full time employment (74.6% in Prince George compared to 72.8 across the Province). However, the unemployment rate is also higher (9.9% compared to 7.8%).

Median employment income is significantly higher in Prince George when compared to the BC median income (\$51,872 compared to \$49,143 across BC).

Median household income is higher in Prince George than the comparable Provincial figure (\$63,563 in Prince George compared to \$60,333 across BC). However, the median income for single person households is lower in Prince George compared to the Province of BC (\$30,667 compared to \$31,236 across the Province).

INCOME PROFILE										
	Prov	Province		Fraser-Fort George		George	The City of Prince George has a comparable			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	proportion of its population with an income of			
Total	1,761,789	100.0	37,300	100.0	29,255	100.0	less than \$30,000 compared to the Province			
Under \$10K	96,435	5.5	1,710	4.6%	1,310	4.5%	(22.9% in the City versus 23.3% across the Province). At the upper end of the income scale,			
\$10K to \$19,999	156,565	8.9	3,305	8.9%	2,730	9.3%				
\$20K to \$29,999	157,605	8.9	3,210	8.6%	2,655	9.1%	more people in Prince George have incomes			
\$30K to \$39,999	167,220	9.5	3,180	8.5%	2,340	8.0%	of \$60,000 or more (53.2%) compared to the Province (50.3%).			
\$40K to \$49,999	158,400	9.0	3,115	8.4%	2,455	8.4%	FIOVINCE (30.3%).			
\$50K to \$59,999	140,340	8.0	2,890	7.7%	2,200	7.5%	This is comparable to the proportion of house-			
\$60K to \$79,999	246,720	14.0	5,695	15.3%	4,475	15.3%	holds in the Region which have incomes in			
\$80K to \$99,999	193,180	11.0	4,700	12.6%	3,515	12.0%	excess of \$60,000 (53.4%).			
\$100K or more	445,324	25.3	9,495	25.5%	7,575	25.9%				

## **INCOME PROFILE**

## **B. HOUSING CHOICES, HOUSING NEEDS AND HOUSING MARKET CONDITIONS**

ABOUT THE HOUSING STOCK								
	Prov	/ince	Fraser-Fo	rt George	Prince George			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	4	
Total Units	1,764,635	100.0	37,325	100.0	29,260	100.0	u	
Single Detached	842,120	47.7	24,810	66.5	18,045	61.7	u	
Apartment	505,120	28.6	4,685	12.6	4,535	15.5	Р	
Row house /Townhouse	186,075	10.5	3,035	8.1	2,835	9.7	a	
Apartment Duplex	184,355	10.4	1,820	4.9	1,785	6.1	tł	
Movable Dwelling	46,960	2.7	2,975	8.0	2,060	7.0	0	

The majority of housing units in Prince George are single family units (61.7%) compared to only 47.7% across the Province. Approximately 2 in 3 units (66.5%) in the Region are single detached units.

Prince George has fewer apartment units than across the Province (15.5% versus 28.6%) although this figure is higher than the proportion of apartment units across the Region (12.6%).

1960 or before 28		Province		Frase	Fraser-Fort George		George			
		#	%	#	%	#	%	The housing stock in the City of Prince George is		
		64,630	100.	0 37,310	100.0	29,265	100.0	0 older than the overall Provincial housing stock. Approximately 2 in 3 housing units in the City (66.2%)		
		82,675	16.0	) 4,265	11.4	3,550	12.1			
		51,655	31.3	3 19,590	52.5	15,820	54.1	47.3% across BC. Between 2001 and 2011 there were		
1981 to 1990	30	)8,450	17.5	5 4,925	13.2	3,760	12.8	1,915 units added in the City representing 6.6% of the total housing stock, significantly less than the 16.6% of		
1991 to 2000	32	29,780	18.7	7 5,995	16.1	4,220	14.4			
2001 to 2005	13	33,235	7.6	1,025	2.7	780	2.7			
2006 to 2011	15	58,845	9.0	1,510	4.0	1,135	3.9			
CONDITION O	THE F	IOUSING	G STOC	СК						
		Province		Frase	Fraser-Fort George		George	When looking at the condition of the housing stock,		
-		#	%	#	%	#	%	it is possible to note that a comparable proportion of the units in Prince George are in need of major repai		
Total Stock	1,7	64,630	100.	0 37,315	100.0	29,260	100.0	0 when compared to the Province as a whole. Overall,		
Minor repairs	1,6	36,875	92.8	34,010	91.1	26,955	92.1			
Major repairs	12	27,760	7.2	3,305	8.9	2,305	7.9	repairs compared to 7.2% of the stock in the Province		
HOUSING TYPE	AND T	ENURE								
			Owne	ers	Re	enters		Approximately 30.3% of households living in Prince George		
		#		%	#	%		are renters which is comparable to the rate seen across the Province (30.2%) while the rate of ownership is 69.7% in Prince George and 69.8% across BC.		
Province		1,202,	000	69.8	519,855	30.2				
Fraser Fort George	Region	27,02	LO	73.5	9,750	26.5		The average monthly housing cost for owners living in		
City of Prince George		20,3	375 69.7		8,840	8,840 30.3		Prince George was \$1,011 per month (median \$986) while		
AVERAGE HOU	SING C	OSTS						the average monthly shelter cost for renters was \$785 (\$749		
		Median Owners' Payments 2011	Average Renters' Monthly Payments 201	Median Renters' Monthly Payments 2011		median). Both the costs for owners and for renters are lowe than the housing costs across the Province.				
Province		\$1,22	28	\$1,023	1,023 \$989		)3	For a household to carry the monthly shelter cost without		
Fraser Fort George	Region	\$97	5	\$933	\$773	\$74	45	spending more than 30% of their income on their housing, they would need an annual income of \$39,440 (owners) an		
City of Prince George		\$1,0	11	\$986	\$785	\$749		\$29,960 (renters).		

ACCESS TO THE OWNERSHIP MARKET								
	Region	Fraser-Fort George	Prince George	The vete of eveneration in Duince Coovers is clightly bigher them				
Total Households	1,764,635	37,310	29,260	The rate of ownership in Prince George is slightly higher than the Province as a whole (69.6% compared to 68.1% across the				
Number of Owners	1,202,000	27,010	20,375	Province). However, 62.4% of all owners in Prince George still				
Part of Condo	397,105	2,515	2,385	have a mortgage compared to 57.3% across the Province.				
% of Owners	68.1	72.4	69.6					
% with a Mortgage	57.3	61.5	62.4	The median monthly housing cost for owners in Prince George is less expensive than the Province as a whole (\$986 versus				
Average Dwelling Value	\$543,635	\$243,321	\$241,240	\$1,023 across BC). The median value of a home in Prince				
Median Dwelling Value	\$448,835	\$229,797	\$229,925	George is approximately half the value reported for the Province				
Average Monthly Payments	\$1,228	\$975	\$1,011	as a whole (229,925 versus \$448,835 across BC).				
Median Monthly Payments	\$1,023	\$933	\$986					

## **RENTAL MARKET CONDITIONS**

AVERAGE MARKET RENTS										
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013					
Bachelor	\$506	\$502	\$518	\$531	\$553					
1-bedroom	\$595	\$592	\$604	\$615	\$634					
2-bedroom	\$692	\$709	\$726	\$738	\$754					
3+ bedroom	\$802	\$794	\$800	\$827	\$862					
Total	\$661	\$666	\$678	\$692	\$711					
VACANCY RAT	VACANCY RATES									
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013					
Bachelor	12.3	10.9	7.5	6.9	5.2					
1-bedroom	6.6	8.2	5.8	3.6	4.2					
2-bedroom	6.2	6.0	4.2	3.4	3.4					
3+ bedroom	11.9	10.5	4.9	5.6	3.6					
Total	7.6	7.7	5.1	4.0	3.8					

Approximately 3 in 10 households living in Prince George are renters (30.4%).

The average monthly shelter cost for renters in Prince George was \$711/month. For a household to carry the monthly shelter cost without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing, they would need an annual income of \$28,440. Based on the most recent Rental Housing Report published by CMHC, the average market rent was \$553 for a bachelor unit and \$634 for a 1-bedroom unit.

These rent levels are affordable to a household with an annual income of between \$22,120 and \$25,360. This is aligned with the median income in the community for single person households. However, it is important to note that 13.8% of those in Prince George (4,040 households) had an annual income of under \$20,000

HOUSING AFFORDABIL	ITY PRESSURE	S						
HOUSEHOLDS IN CORE HOUSING NEED AND "WORST CASE NEED"								
Renter Households Spending		5	Almost half (43.8%) of renters in Prince George are spending 30% or more of their income					
2011 Nationa	l Household Surv	ey						
Province	235,494	45.3	on housing. This is slightly lower than the rate across the Province (45.3%).					
Fraser Fort George Region	4,173	42.8	A marginally lower proportion of renters in the City of Prince George are also in worst case					
Prince George	3,872	43.8	need (paying 50% or more of their income on housing) when compared to the Province as					
Renter Households Spending	50% or More of Ir	ncome on Housing	a whole (13.2% of renters in Prince George are in worst case need compared to 13.4% of					
20	06 Census		renters across BC).					
Province	64,885	13.4						
Fraser Fort George Region	1,200	12.8						
Prince George	1,095	13.2						
ACCESS TO SOCIAL HO	USING							
	Number Assisted	%	A smaller proportion of renters in Prince George are in social housing (12.6%) compared to					
Province	70,180	13.5	the Provincial totals (13.5%).					
Fraser Fort George Region	1,190	12.2						
Prince George	1,078	12.6						

# VULNERABLE AND AT RISK POPULATION

35

INDIVIDUALS LIVING IN	LOW INCOME		
	#	%	Statistics Canada uses a number of measures to report on households living in low income. These can include both before tax and after tax measures. The information in this section reports on the
Province	696,850	16.4	incidence of individual in low income in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on LIM-AT – low income mea- sure after tax. This measure is a fixed percentage (50%) of the median adjusted after-tax household
Fraser Fort George Region	14,105	15.5	income and takes into account differences in household size. Based on this measure, the incidence of households living in low income in Prince George is slightly lower than the Province as a whole
Prince George	11,295	15.7	based on information reported in the 2011 Census using LIM-AT (low income measure after tax) as the threshold. Region-wide there were 11,295 individuals in Prince George who had an income below the established income cut-off. For a single person household the income cut-off for 2011 was \$19,460 while the income cut-off for a two person household was \$25,721.

CHILDREN UNDER 6 L	IVING IN LC	W INCOM	IE III III III III III III III III III					
	#	%	There were 1,180 children under 6 living in families in Prince George who were in low income based on the					
Province	47,725	18.5	information reported in the 2011 Census using LIM-AT (low income measure after tax) as the threshold. This would include both single parent family households as well as working poor families. For a single parent family household					
Fraser Fort George Region	1,410	22.1	with one child, the established income threshold is \$25,721 while a three person household would be considered to be in low income at \$33,706. A couple with two children who had an after-tax income of \$38,920 would also be considered to be in low income under this measure. It should also be noted that the incidence of low income					
Prince George	1,180	22.6	among children under 6 is significantly higher than the Provincial rate of 18.5% of children under 6.					
CHILDREN AND YOUT	H (Under 18	) LIVING I	N LOW INCOME					
	#	%	There were also 3,055 children under the age of 18 who were living in Prince George in families with incomes that					
Province	157,250	19.1	were below the established income thresholds and who were considered to be living in poverty. This accounts					
Fraser Fort George Region	3,810	19.3	for 19.5% of children under the age of 18. This is slightly higher than the 19.1% rate seen across the Province as a					
Prince George	3,055	19.5	whole.					
SENIORS (65+) LIVING	IN LOW INC	COME						
			More than 1 in 5 seniors in Prince George (20.8%) were considered to be in low income in 2011 (2010 incomes)					
Province	88,235	21.5	based on the low income measure after tax (LIM-AT) published by Statistics Canada. This is slightly below the inci- dence of low income reported across the Province for senior-led households and includes both low income single					
Fraser Fort George Region	1,450	21.1	seniors and couples. A single senior would be considered to be in low income if their after-tax income was \$19,460					
Prince George	1,105	20.8	or less while a senior couple would be in low income if their after tax income was at or below \$25,721.					
ABORIGINAL PEOPLE								
			The City of Prince George has a higher incidence of aboriginal residents when compared to the Province as a					
Province	267,085	6.2	whole, with 13.6% of the City's 71,975 residents being Aboriginal compared to 6.2% across the Province.					
Fraser Fort George Region	11,635	12.9						
Prince George	9,065	13.6						

This Housing Need and Demand report prepared for Kamloops identifies key measures of housing need as set out in the "Housing Need and Demand Study Template" developed by the BC Non Profit Housing Association (BCNPHA) and BC Housing.

# **Key Components**

Key elements in this report include measures related to current housing needs, estimated future demand as well as an analysis of the existing inventory of housing in the community.

### A. Current Needs

This section provides an overview of existing and emerging needs in Kamloops and across the Thompson-Nicola Region. The information reported in this section includes key social, demographic and economic data related to existing and future housing demand.

#### (a) Population

Kamloops is a mid-sized city located in the Central Interior of BC. At the time of the 2011 Census, there were 85,678 individuals living in Kamloops.

Both the City of Kamloops and the Thompson-Nicola Regional District grew between 2006 and 2011.

Between 2006 and 2011, the population in Kamloops grew by 5,302 individuals (6.6%). At the same time, the population of the Thompson-Nicola Region grew by 6,187 individuals (5.1%).

Of the estimated increase in the population across the Region, 86% of the growth was concentrated in the City of Kamloops.

As the City of Kamloops continues to grow, it is likely that this growth will create increased housing demand.

### (b) Age Profile

At the time of the 2011 Census, approximately 3 in 10 individuals living in the City of Kamloops (29.6%) were under the age of 25. At the same time, 15.6% of residents were 65 or older.

In looking at the general age profile of households living in Kamloops, the 2011 Census/National Household Survey reported that almost 1 in 4 households (23.4%) was led by someone 65 or older. There were also an additional 19.4% of households led by someone between the ages of 55 and 64.

#### (c) Income Profile

The median household income in the City of Kamloops in 2011 (2010 incomes) was 3.8% higher than the median household income for BC. However, the median household income in the Region was slightly lower (1.6%) than the Provincial median income.

Of the 35,020 households in the City of Kamloops, approximately 19,895 (56.8%) were led by a single household maintainer. A large number of these households are single senior-led households. However, there are also approximately 3,115 single parent family households living in the community. The median household income in Kamloops in 2011 was \$62,598 or 3.8% higher than the Provincial median (based on 2010 incomes). However, the median household income for single person households in Kamloops was \$31,263 which was within \$30 of the Provincial median.

The 2011 Census also noted that there were 4,490 households living in the City of Kamloops who had an annual income of less than \$20,000. This represents 13.1% of all households living in the community.

The 2011 Census reported that there were 11,200 individuals (13.4%) living in the City of Kamloops who were living in poverty in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on Statistics Canada Low Income Measure After Tax (LIM-AT)<sup>2</sup>. Across the Thompson-Nicola Region a total of 16,860 people (14.1%) were living in low income.

#### (d) Labour Force and Employment

The overall level of labour force participation in the City of Kamloops was 1.8% greater than the comparable Provincial rate (66.4% compared to 64.6%). The employment rate was also higher in Kamloops than it was across the Province as a whole (60.8% versus 59.5% across BC). In addition, there was a slightly higher prevalence of part-time employment reported for Kamloops when compared to the Province.

In 2011, there were 46,740 individuals living in the City of Kamloops 15 or older in 2011 who were part of the labour force.

The median employment income in Kamloops was \$50,293 in 2011 (2010 incomes). This is more than \$1,000 higher than the median employment income reported for the Province (\$49,143).

Of the 46,740 individuals in the City of Kamloops who were 15 or older and who were eligible to work, 34,170 reported that they worked full-time in 2010. This represents 73.1% of the work force. There were also 10,490 individuals living in the City of Kamloops who reported that they worked part time. This represents approximately 22.4% of the work force.

Those living in Kamloops and who were in the labour force worked an average of 43.7 weeks in 2010. This is relatively aligned with the rate reported for the Province as a whole (43.9 weeks).

While having employment can help provide increased economic security and stability, single person households (with their dependence on a single income) can experience a higher degree of housing stress and financial insecurity.

Across single person households in Kamloops in 2011, the median household income was \$31,263 while the average household income was \$37,158. Median incomes were comparable to the Province as a whole (\$31,263 in Kamloops versus \$31,236 across BC). However, average incomes were significantly smaller in Kamloops when compared to the Provincial average (37,158 compared to \$40,265 across BC).

#### (e) Housing

#### i) Household Size and Composition

The 2011 Census reported that there were 35,020 households living in the City of Kamloops in 2011. Of these households, 9,320 (26.6%) were single person households. There were also an additional 9,320 individuals who were living in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> LIM-AT means "low income measure (after tax) and is a measure that was developed by Statistics Canada to track and report on poverty. LIM-AT reports on the number of households (before and after tax) who have an income which falls below 50% of the median household income while adjusting for difference in household sizes. In 2011, the income cut-off for a single person household based on LIM-AT was an annual household income of \$19,460 after-tax while the income cut-off for a two person household was \$25,721. For a family of three or four the income cut-off was between \$33,706 and \$38,920.

non-family arrangements in Kamloops. This can include single person households living on their own as well as two or more unrelated individuals sharing their housing.

#### ii) Housing Types

The 19,715 single detached housing units in the City of Kamloops comprise 56.3% of the entire housing stock (35,020 units) which is somewhat higher than the Provincial average (47.7% of the total stock). Apartment units make up 17.7% of the units (6,205), while 16.0% were row- or townhouse units (5,605 units). Apartment duplex units made up 7.1% of the stock (2,495 units). There were also 1,005 households living in manufactured homes/moveable dwellings (2.9% of the entire housing stock).

#### iii) Condition of the Stock

The majority of the housing in Kamloops (59.0%) was built before 1980, while 31.5% of the units were built between 1981 and 2000. Only 11.6% of the housing stock in Kamloops (4,390 units) were built between 2001 and 2011 which is considerably lower than the 16.6% of units built during this period across the Province as a whole.

The 2011 National Household Survey showed that the majority of the housing stock in Kamloops is in good repair. Some 32,855 units (93.8% of the housing stock) were identified as requiring only regular maintenance or minor repairs, while 2,170 units (6.2% of the total housing stock) were in need of major repairs.

#### iv) Tenure

#### Owners

Of the 35,020 households living in Kamloops, almost 3 in 4 (25,725 households or 73.6%) were owners while 9,205 (26.4%) were renters.

The median monthly payment for owners living in Kamloops in 2011 was \$1,052 per month (2010 rates) based on information reported in the 2011 Census.

At \$1,052, the cost of housing for owners living in Kamloops is marginally higher (by \$29) than the comparable median monthly owners' payments reported across the Province (\$1,023). Three in 5 owner households (60.2%) in Kamloops carried a mortgage compared to 57.3% of owners across BC.

#### Renters

More than 1 in 4 households in Kamloops are renters (26.4%). This translates into 9,205 households. Of the renter households living in the City of Kamloops, the average monthly shelter costs were \$859 while the median monthly shelter costs were \$833.

In October 2013, CMHC reported average monthly rents of \$775 for the City of Kamloops and a vacancy rate of 3.5% across all units. This is slightly lower than the vacancy rate in 2012 which was 3.9%. The vacancy rate for 1-bedroom units was 3.4%. This has increased from a rate of 3.0% in the previous year.

#### (f) Housing market conditions

#### (i) Ownership

Kamloops has been successful in achieving a rate of ownership (73.6%) that is higher than the Provincial average (69.8%). However, it is important to note that the cost of housing in the community is increasing.

The 2011 National Household Survey reported an average dwelling value of \$361,030 for ownership housing in Kamloops (2010 values) and a median dwelling value of \$349,099.

#### (ii) Rental

The 2011 National Household Survey identified a total of 9,205 renter households living in Kamloops. Most of these households live in either purpose-built rental housing or social housing. In addition, some renters in Kamloops live in rented secondary suites or single detached homes.

The 2011 National Household Survey showed that 14.4% of renter households living in the community were living in subsidized housing. This translates into approximately 1,160 households.

This number of subsidized renters is a relatively new measure reported by Statistics Canada as part of the National Household Survey and requires some analysis in order to fully understand the implications. One important result is that it is possible to see that the proportion of Kamloops residents receiving some level of housing assistance (14.4%) was slightly higher when compared to the Province as a whole (13.5%) as well as many other communities and regions across BC.

### **Private Rental Housing**

CMHC's Rental Market Report (2013) shows 3,158 purpose-built rental housing units in the Kamloops CA.

The average monthly rent as reported by CMHC for a purpose-built rental unit in the City of Kamloops is \$775. To carry the cost of this housing without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing costs, a household would need an annual income of \$31,000.

In looking at the rent ranges in Kamloops, CMHC reported an average market rent of \$641 per month for a bachelor unit in Kamloops and an average rent of \$721 per month for a 1-bedroom unit. While these rents may be affordable for many renter households, it is important to note that they are not affordable to households earning a minimum wage, or relying on income assistance.

Similarly, rents at this level would be challenging for low income single seniors relying on OAS/GIS.

# (g) Housing Affordability Challenges

The 2011 Census also reported that almost half of all renters (47.0%) living in Kamloops were spending 30% or more of their income on their housing costs in 2010. This translates into 4,326 renter households.

At the time of the 2006 Census, there were 1,510 households in the City of Kamloops spending 50% or more of their income on their housing costs (17.5% of all renter households). These households are considered to face extreme affordability challenges and are at increased risk of losing their housing or becoming homeless. An additional 335 renter households across the Thompson-Nicola Region spend 50% or more of their income on their housing costs (16.1% of renter households across the Region). However, of the 1,845 households across the region who are in this situation, 81.8% live in the City of Kamloops.

#### (h) Vulnerable and At Risk Households

The 2011 Census reported 11,200 individuals living in Kamloops who are in low income based on LIM-AT.

This includes approximately 2,500 children and youth under the age of 18 (15.1%) who are living in families that are in poverty, as well as 860 children who are under the age of 6 (17.1%). It also includes 1,465 seniors 65 years or older (12.0%).

Aboriginal people are also frequently over-represented among those who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. Data from the 2011 Census and National Household Survey shows that Aboriginal people account for 8.9% of the population in Kamloops and 12.6% of the population within the Region, significantly higher than the proportions across the Province (6.2%). This translates into 7,625 Aboriginal residents in the City and 16,135 in the Region. In looking at ways to effectively respond to the needs of Aboriginal people and communities, it is important to ensure that the services and supports that are provided are culturally responsive and that the history of Aboriginal people and communities in BC is recognized.

# Conclusions

The review of the housing need and demand indicators and community research as set out in this report suggests that there are significant gaps in the continuum of housing and services in the City of Kamloops and the Thompson-Nicola Region. This includes gaps on the supply-side (a shortage of units that are affordable to households living in the community) and on the demand-side (targeted housing and supports to better meet the specific needs of vulnerable and at risk groups). All of the research suggests that there are a number of families and individuals in the Thompson-Nicola Region who are facing real challenges in finding and keeping suitable housing and who do not have the resources they need to find housing in the private rental market without some type of assistance and support.

# **Selected References**

Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation, Rental Market Report (Fall 2013)

Statistics Canada. 2011 Census

Statistics Canada 2011. National Household Survey

# SOCIAL DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC PROFILE

	2001	2006	2011	
Province	3,907,738	4,113,487	4,400,057	The 2011 Census shows that the population in the City of Kamloops has
Increase/Decrease		205,749	286,570	continued to increase.
% Increase		5.3	7.0	Between 2006 and 2011, the population of Kamloops grew by 5,302 individual
Thompson-Nicola Region	119,222	122,286	128,473	This represents an increase of 6.6%.
Increase/Decrease		3,064	6,187	At the same time, the negulation of the Thompson Missle Design synuchy
% Change		2.6	5.1	<ul> <li>At the same time, the population of the Thompson-Nicola Region grew by</li> <li>6,187 (5.1%). Outside the City of Kamloops, the growth was much slower</li> </ul>
City of Kamloops	77,281	80,376	85,678	<ul> <li>with only 885 additional people. Put differently, 85.7% of the growth in the</li> </ul>
Increase/Decrease		3,095	5,302	Region occurred in the city of Kamloops.
% Change		3.9	6.6	
HOUSEHOLD GROWT	H			
	2001	2006	2011	
Province	1,534,335	1,643,150	1,764,635	The number of households in Kamloops has also continued to grow.
Increase/Decrease		108,815	121,485	Between 2006 and 2011 the number of households in Kamloops grew by
% Change		6.6	7.4	2,365 households. This represents an increase of 6.8% and is an indicator of
Thompson-Nicola Region	47,645	50,375	53,375	on-going housing demand.
Increase/Decrease		2,730	3,000	
% Change		5.4	5.6	
City of Kamloops	30,705	32,655	35,020	
Increase/Decrease		1,960	2,365	
% Change		6.0	6.8	

#### POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD AGE PROFILE

#### POPULATION

POPULATI							
	Provir	nce	Thompsor	n-Nicola	Kamlo	ops	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Total	4,400,055	100.0	128,475	100.0	85,680	100.0	
Under 25	1,232,350	28.0	36,060	28.1	25,380	29.6	
25 – 34	564,765	12.8	14,440	11.2	10,705	12.5	
35 – 44	594,635	13.5	15,435	12.0	10,725	12.5	
45 – 54	705,210	16.0	21,040	16.4	13,700	16.0	
55 – 64	614,375	14.0	19,675	15.3	11,815	13.8	
65+	688,715	15.7	21,830	17.0	13,345	15.6	
HOUSEHO	LD						
	Provir	nce	Thompsor	n-Nicola	Kamlo	Kamloops	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Total	1,764,640	100.0	53,375	100.0	35,020	100.0	
Under 25	57,815	3.3	2,050	3.8	1,740	5.0	
25 – 34	235,955	13.4	6,570	12.3	4,905	14.0	
35 – 44	308,825	17.5	8,245	15.4	5,815	16.6	
45 – 54	396,785	22.5	11,520	21.6	7,555	21.6	
55 – 64	354,395	20.1	11,295	21.2	6,790	19.4	
65+	410,865	23.3	13,695	25.7	8,210	23.4	

The 2011 Census shows that the age profile of the residents of Kamloops is generally comparable to that of the Province as a whole. 42.1% of Kamloops residents are under the age of 35 compared to 40.8% across the Province. Those between the ages of 35 and 54 account for 28.5% of the population in Kamloops compared to 28.1% across the Province. Those 55 or older account for 29.4% of the population in Kamloops versus 29.7% across the Province.

The 2011 Census also shows that the Thompson-Nicola Region has a slightly older age profile when compared to the City of Kamloops with only 39.3% of the regional population under the age of 35 (compared to 42.1% in the City) while 32.3% are 55 or older (compared to 29.4% in the City).

43

# FAMILY AND HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

#### NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLD MAINTAINERS

Multi-Family Households

141,600

8.0

3,650

6.8

2,460

7.0

	-		-			
	Provi	ince	Thompso	n-Nicola	Kamloops	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total	1,764,635	100.0	53,370	100.0	35,020	100.0
1 Household Maintainer	1,038,910	58.9	30,760	57.6	19,895	56.8
2 Household Maintain- ers	673,945	38.2	21,480	40.2	14,255	40.7
3 or more Household Maintainers	51,775	2.9	1,130	2.1	865	2.5
HOUSEHOLD SIZE						
	Provi	ince	Thompso	n-Nicola	Kam	loops
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total	1,764,635	100.0	53,370	100.0	35,020	100.0
1 Person	498,925	28.3	14,150	26.5	9,320	26.6
2 People	613,270	34.8	21,050	39.4	13,040	37.2
3 People	264,135	15.0	7,965	14.9	5,535	15.8
4 People	237,725	13.5	6,805	12.8	4,865	13.9
5 People	91,600	5.2	2,330	4.4	1,600	4.6
6 or more People	58,985	3.3	1,070	2.0	660	1.9
FAMILY/HOUSEHOLE	O ARRANGE	MENTS (C	ENSUS FAM	ILY AND NO	ON-CENSU	S FAMILY)
	Provi	Province		n-Nicola	Kamloops	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total	1,764,635	100.0	53,370	100.0	35,020	100.0
Census Families	1,183,075	67.0	36,615	68.6	23,720	67.7
Single parent Families	143,445	8.1	4,460	8.4	3,115	8.9
Non Family Households	498,925	33.0	14,155	26.5	9,320	26.6

While the difference is modest, there are fewer households with a single household maintainer in Kamloops than across the Region or the Province (56.8% in the City compared to 58.9% across the Province).

Approximately 1 in 4 (27%) households in the City of Kamloops and the Thompson-Nicola Region are single person households which is slightly less than across the Province as a whole. More than 1 in 3 (37%) households in the City of Kamloops are 2 person households while the remaining 36% are households of 3 or more. These proportions do not differ significantly from those reported across the Region or the Province.

Two thirds (67.7%) of households in the City of Kamloops and across the Region are census families while 8.9% are single parent families. These numbers are generally consistent with the proportions across the Province.

# LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATION

	Province	Thompson-Nicola	Kamloops
Individuals 15 or older in the labour market	2,354,245	67,415	46,740
Participation rate	64.6	63.4	66.4
Employment rate	59.5	57.3	60.8
Unemployment rate	7.8	9.6	8.5
Average Weeks Worked (2010)	43.9	43.6	43.7
Worked Full-Time (2010)	1,713,540	49,270	34,170
% reporting full-time employment	72.8	73.1	73.1
Worked Part-Time (2010)	516,465	14,760	10,490
% reporting part-time employment	21.9	21.9	22.4
Median commuting time (in min.)	20.4	15.2	15.2
Average Employment Income	\$58,016	\$55,337	\$56,649
Median Employment Income	\$49,143	\$49,260	\$50,293

Median Income

\$60,333

The employment rate in Kamloops is slightly higher than that of the Province (60.8% in Kamloops versus 59.5% across BC). In terms of those reporting full time employment, 73.1% of worker in Kamloops work full time versus 72.8% across BC. The unemployment rates are roughly comparable with 8.5% in Kamloops and 7.8% across BC being unemployed.

Median employment income is more than \$1,000 higher in Kamloops when compared to the BC median income (\$50,293 compared to \$49,143 across BC).

# HOUSEHOLD INCOME Average Income Province

Thompson-Nicola Region \$59,385 \$71,861 \$62,598 City of Kamloops \$75,357 SINGLE PERSON HOUSEHOLDS Median Income Average Income Province \$40,265 \$31,236 Thompson-Nicola Region \$32,146 \$25,916 City of Kamloops \$37,158 \$31,263

\$77,378

Median household income is more than \$2,000 higher (3.6%) in Kamloops than the comparable Provincial figure (\$62,598 in Kamloops compared to \$60,333 across BC). However, the median income for single person households is virtually identical to the comparable rate in the Province of BC (\$31,263 in Kamloops compared to \$31,236 across the Province).

<b>INCOME PROFI</b>	LE						
	Prov	ince	Thompso	on-Nicola	Kaml	oops	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	While the income profile of those living in Kamloops
Total	1,761,789	100.0	53,385	100.0	34,035	100.0	<ul> <li>is largely consistent with the pattern seen across the</li> <li>Province, it is interesting to note that Kamloops has</li> </ul>
Under \$10K	96,435	5.5	2,260	4.2	1335	3.9	fewer households in the bottom decile or lowest 10%
\$10K to \$19,999	156,565	8.9	4,665	8.7	3,155	9.3	(4% versus 6% across the Province) and more in the to
\$20K to \$29,999	157,605	8.9	4,895	9.2	2,825	8.3	income bracket of \$100,000 or more (27% compared to
\$30K to \$39,999	167,220	9.5	6,155	11.5	3,805	11.2	25% across the Province). However, overall variations a
\$40K to \$49,999	158,400	9.0	4,630	8.7	2,680	7.9	relatively minor.
\$50K to \$59,999	140,340	8.0	4,370	8.2	2,905	8.5	
\$60K to \$79,999	246,720	14.0	8,005	15.0	5.255	15.4	
\$80K to \$99,999	193,180	11.0	5,635	10.6	2,880	8.5	
\$100K or more	445,324	25.3	12,770	23.9	9,195	27.0	

# **B. HOUSING CHOICES, HOUSING NEEDS AND HOUSING MARKET CONDITIONS**

ABOUT THE HOUSING STOCK											
	Prov	vince	Thompso	n-Nicola	Kam	loops	More than half of the h				
	#	%	#	%	#	%	single detached. The C				
Total Units	1,764,635	100.0	53,370	100.0	35,025	100.0	tion of single detached				
Single Detached	842,120	47.7	33,070	62.0	19,715	56.3	as a whole (56% compa centration than the The				
Apartment	505,120	28.6	7,290	13.7	6,205	17.7	62% of the housing is t				
Row house /Townhouse	186,075	10.5	6,790	12.7	5,605	16.0	has a lower concentrat				
Apartment Duplex	184,355	10.4	2,715	5.1	2,495	7.1	compared to the Provi				
Movable Dwelling	46,960	2.7	3,505	6.6	1,005	2.9					

ore than half of the housing stock in Kamloops is ngle detached. The City has a greater concentraon of single detached housing than the Province a whole (56% compared to 48%) but a lower conentration than the Thompson-Nicola Region where 2% of the housing is this form. However, Kamloops as a lower concentration of apartment units when pompared to the Province (18% versus 29%).

AGE OF THE	HOUSING	STOCK									
	Prov	vince	Thompson-Nicola Kamloops			ops					
	#	%	#	%	#	%	, ,	y of the housing in the City of Kamloops (56%) was built which makes the stock somewhat older than the hous			
Total Units	1,764,630	100.0	53,375	100.0	35,025	100.0		which makes the stock somewhat older than the hous- ross the entire province. Between 2001 and 2011 there			
1960 or before	282,675	16.0	6,410	12.0	4,370	12.5	3	imately 4,390 units added in the City and 7,150 units			
1961 to 1980	551,655	31.3	22,435	42.0	15,235	43.5		n-wide. This accounts for 12% of the total housing stock			
1981 to 1990	308,450	17.5	7,770	14.6	4,725	13.5	3	jurisdictions. Both the City and the Region added 18% o			
1991 to 2000	329,780	18.7	9,620	18.0	6,290	18.0	the current h	nousing stock in the 1990s.			
2001 to 2005	133,235	7.6	3,010	5.6	1,885	5.4					
2006 to 2011	158,845	9.0	4,140	7.8	2,505	7.2					
CONDITION	OF THE HO	OUSING STO	ОСК								
	Province # %		Thompso	n-Nicola	Kamloo	ops		pite the fact that the housing stock in the City is slightly older			
			#	%	#	%		Ising stock Province-wide, the proportion of the units hich is in need of major repairs is slightly smaller when			
Total Stock	1,764,630	100.0	53,375	100.0	35,025	100.0		the Province as a whole. Overall, 6.2% of the housing i			
Minor repairs	1,636,875	92.8	49,180	92.1%	32,855	93.8%	the City is in	need of major repairs compared to 7.2% of the stock in			
Major repairs	127,760	7.2	4,195	7.9%	2,170	6.2%	the Province				
HOUSING TY	PE AND TE	ENURE									
		Thomp	son-Nicola		Ка	mloops					
		#	%		#		%	Just over 1 in 4 households (26.4%) living in the City			
Province		1,202,000	69.	8	519,855		30.2	of Kamloops are renters (26.4%).			
Thompson-Nic	ola Region	38,135	76.	2	11,940		23.8	The average monthly housing cost for owners living			
City of Kamloop	)S	25,725	73.	6	9,205		26.4	in Kamloops was \$1,099 per month (median \$1,052)			
AVERAGE HC	DUSING CO	OSTS						while the average month shelter cost for renters			
	A		Median C Payment		Average Renters' onthly Payments 201	Mediar 1 Pa	n Renters' Monthly ayments 2011	was \$859 (\$833 median). For a household to carry the median monthly shelter cost without spending			
Province		\$1,228	\$1,0	23	\$989		\$903	more than 30% of their income on their housing, the			
Thompson-Nic	ola Region	\$1,013	\$88	32	\$827		\$801	would need an annual income of \$42,080 (owners)			
City of Kamloop	)S	\$1,099	\$1,0	52	\$859		\$833	and \$33,320 (renters).			

ACCESS TO THE OWNERSHIP MARKET									
	Province	Thompson-Nicola	Kamloops	The rate of ownership in Kamloops is higher than the rate across					
Total Households	1,764,635	53,375	35,025	the Province as a whole (73.7% in Kamloops versus 68.1% across BC)					
Number of Owners	1,202,000	40,695	25,810	with 60.2% of all owners in Kamloops still carrying a mortgage.					
Part of Condo	397,105	6,785	5,915	The median monthly housing cost for owners in Kamloops is					
% of Owners	68.1	76.2	73.7	relatively comparable to the Province as whole with the cost in					
% with a Mortgage	57.3	57.1	60.2	Kamloops being \$1,052 versus \$1,023 for the Province of BC.					
Average Dwelling Value	\$543,635	\$346,125	\$361,030	The median dwelling value of a unit in Kamloops is \$349,099 or al-					
Median Dwelling Value	\$448,835	\$334,641	\$349,099	most \$100,000 less than the comparable rate across BC (\$349,099					
Average Monthly Payments	\$1,228	\$1,013	\$1,099	in Kamloops versus \$448, 835 across BC).					
Median Monthly Payments	\$1,023	\$882	\$1,052						

# RENTAL MARKET CONDITIONS

AVERAGE MARKET RENTS

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013					
Bachelor	\$578	\$602	\$607	\$616	\$641					
1-bedroom	\$688	\$685	\$694	\$711	\$721					
2-bedroom	\$819	\$816	\$807	\$876	\$850					
3+ bedroom	\$996	\$1,032	\$1,058	\$1,044	\$1,030					
Total	\$747	\$742	\$747	\$783	\$775					
VACANCY RAT	VACANCY RATES									
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013					
Bachelor	2.1	11.4	0.7	1.8	13.1					
1-bedroom	1.6	2.4	3.6	3.0	3.4					
2-bedroom	1.4	1.7	2.3	5.1	2.2					
3+ bedroom	0.0	4.3	1.8	6.7	0.0					
Total	1.5	2.6	2.9	3.9	3.5					

The average monthly rental housing cost for those living in Kamloops was \$775. For a renter household to carry the average monthly shelter cost without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing, it would need an annual income of \$31,000.

Based on the most recent Rental Housing Report published by CMHC, the average market rent was \$641 for a bachelor unit and \$721 for a 1-bedroom unit.

These rent levels are affordable to a household with an annual income of between \$24,640 and \$28,840. This is aligned with the median income in the community for single person households. However, it is important to note that approximately 4,490 households living in Kamloops (13.1% of total households) had an annual income of under \$20,000.

HOUSING AFFORDABILITY PRESSURES	
---------------------------------	--

INDIVIDUALS LIVING IN LOW INCOME

HOUSEHOLDS IN CORE HOUSING NEED AND "WORST CASE NEED"

Renter Households Spend	ling 30% or More of I	ncome on Housing						
2011 Na	tional Household Survey							
Province	235,494	45.3						
Thompson-Nicola Region	5,289	44.3						
City of Kamloops	4,326	47.0						
Renter Households Spending 50% or More of Income on Housing 2006 Census								
Province	64,885	13.4						
Thompson-Nicola Region	1,845	16.1						
City of Kamloops	1,510	17.5						
ACCESS TO SOCIAL HO	DUSING							
	Number Assisted	%						
Province	70,180	13.5						
Thompson-Nicola Region	1,504	12.6						

1,160

14.4

Almost half (47.0%) of renters in Kamloops spend 30% or more of their income on housing. This is slightly higher than across the Province (45.3%).

A greater proportion of renters in the City of Kamloops are also in worst case need (paying 50% or more of their income on housing) when compared to the Province as a whole (17.5% of renters in Kamloops are in worst case need compared to 13.4% of renters across BC).

A marginally larger proportion of renters in Kamloops are in social housing (14.4%) compared to the Provincial totals (13.5%).

#### 49

City of Kamloops

	<b>VULNERABLE AND A</b>	T RISK POPULATION
--	-------------------------	-------------------

	#	%	Statistics Canada uses a number of measures to report on households living in low					
Province	696,850	16.4	income. These can include both before tax and after tax measures. The information in					
Thompson-Nicola Region	16,860	14.1	this section reports on the incidence of individual in low income in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on LIM-AT (low income measure after tax). This measure is a fixed percentage (50%)					
City of Kamloops	11,200	13.4	of the median adjusted after-tax household income and takes into account differences in household size. Based on this measure, the incidence of households living in low income in the City of Kamloops and in the Thompson-Nicola Region is slightly lower than the Province as a whole. Region-wide there were 16,860 individuals in the Thompson-Nicola Region who had an income below the established income cut-off (14%). For a single person household the income cut-off for 2011 (2010 incomes) was \$19,460 while the income cut-off for a two person household was \$25,721.					

CHILDREN UNDER 6 LIVING IN LOW INCOME										
	#	%	Across the Region there were 1,190 children under 6 who were living in families who were in low income							
Province	47,725	18.5	based on the information reported in the 2011 Census using LIM-AT (low income measure after tax) as the							
Thompson-Nicola Region	1,190	17.4	threshold. This represents 17.4% of this population and includes both single parent family households as well as working poor families. For a single parent family household with one child, the established income							
City of Kamloops	860	17.1	Well as working poor families. For a single parent family household with one child, the established in threshold is \$25,721 while a three person household would be considered to be in low income at \$3 A couple with two children who had an after-tax income of less than \$38,920 would also be considered be in low income under this measure.							
CHILDREN AND YOUT	CHILDREN AND YOUTH (Under 18) LIVING IN LOW INCOME									
	#	%	There were also 3,925 children under the age of 18 who were living in the Thompson-Nicola Region in							
Province	157,250	19.1	families with incomes that were below the established income thresholds and who were considered to be living in poverty. This represents 17.2% of this population compared to 19.1% across the Province. The							
Thompson-Nicola Region	3,925	17.2	incidence of children under age 18 living in low income is also lower for the Thompson-Nicola Region							
City of Kamloops	2,500	15.1	when compared to the Province as a whole (15.1% compared to 19.1% across BC).							
SENIORS (65+) LIVINO	IN LOW IN	NCOME								
	#	%	Approximately 12% of seniors in Kamloops were considered to be in low income in 2011 (2010 incomes)							
Province	88,235	13.9	based on the low income measure after tax (LIM-AT) published by Statistics Canada. This is slightly below the incidence of low income reported across the Province for senior-led households (13.9%) and includes							
Thompson-Nicola Region	2,550	12.8	both low income single seniors and couples. A single senior would be considered to be in low income if							
City of Kamloops	1,465	12.0	their after-tax income was \$19,460 or less while a senior couple would be considered to be in low income if their after tax income was at or below \$25,721.							
ABORIGINAL PEOPLE										
	#	%	The City of lambans has a higher incidence of aboviginal residents when compared to the Dravince of a							
Province	267,085	6.2	The City of Kamloops has a higher incidence of aboriginal residents when compared to the Province as a whole. Approximately 8.9% of the City's residents are Aboriginal compared to 6.2% across BC.							
Thompson-Nicola Region	16,135	12.6	whole. Approximately 0.3% of the City's residents are Abonginal compared to 0.2% across bC.							
City of Kamloops	7,625	8.9								

This housing need and demand review identifies key measures of housing need in the City of Kelowna and the Central Okanagan Region as set out in the "Housing Need and Demand Study Template" developed by the BC Non Profit Housing Association (BCNPHA) and BC Housing.

# **Key Components**

Key elements in this report include measures related to current housing needs, estimated future demand as well as an analysis of the existing inventory of housing in the community.

### A. Current Needs

This section provides an overview of existing and emerging needs in Kelowna. The information reported in this section includes key social, demographic and economic data related to existing and future housing demand.

#### (a) Population

Kelowna is a mid-sized city located on the banks of Okanagan Lake in the heart of the Okanagan Valley in south-central BC. At the time of the 2011 Census, there were 117,312 individuals living in Kelowna.

Both the City of Kelowna and the Central Okanagan Regional District grew between 2006 and 2011.

Between 2006 and 2011, the population of Kelowna grew by 10,277 individuals (9.6%). At the same time, the population of the Central Kootenay Region grew by 17,563 individuals (10.8%).

Of the estimated increase in the population across the Region, 58.5% of the growth was concentrated in the City of Kelowna.

As the City of Kelowna continues to grow, it is likely that this growth will create increased housing demand.

### (b) Age Profile

Seniors and near seniors represent a significant proportion of households living in Kelowna with almost 1 in 5 individuals (19.1%) being seniors compared to 15.7% across the Province.

At the same time, more than 1 in 4 individuals living in the City of Kelowna were under the age of 25 (27.7%). This is comparable to the rate of 28.0% across the Province.

In looking at the general age profile of households living in Kelowna, the 2011 Census/National Household Survey reported that more than 1 in 4 households (27.8%) was led by someone 65 or older. There were also an additional 18.4% of households led by someone between the ages of 55 and 64. Those between the ages of 35 and 54 accounted for 35.7% of household heads. Only 18.1% of households in Kelowna were led by someone under the age of 35 although this is slightly higher than the Provincial average of 16.7% of households with a head under the age of 35.

### (c) Income Profile

The median household income in the City of Kelowna in 2011 (2010 incomes) was 4.0% lower than the median household income for BC (\$57,948 compared to \$60,333 across BC). The median household income in the Region was \$59,456 which was between the median income in the City and that seen across the Province as a whole.

The median household income for single person households in Kelowna was \$30,144 which is approximately \$1,000 or 3.5% less than the comparable figure across BC (\$31,236).

Of the 49,670 households in the City of Kelowna, 28,740 (57.9%) were led by a single household maintainer. Some of these households will be single senior-led households while others will be single young adults. However, there are also 3,885 single parent family households living in the community (7.8% of total households).

The 2011 Census also noted that there were 6,340 households living in the City of Kelowna who had an annual income of less than \$20,000. This represents 12.2% or almost 1 in 8 households living in the community.

The 2011 Census reported that there were 16,675 individuals (14.6%) living in the City of Kelowna who were living in poverty in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on Statistics Canada Low Income Measure After Tax (LIM-AT)<sup>3</sup>. Across the Central Okanagan Region a total of 23,690 people (14.2%) were living in low income.

#### (d) Labour Force and Employment

The overall level of labour force participation in the City of Kelowna was 65.0% which was comparable to the Provincial rate of 64.6%. The employment rate was also comparable to the Province as a whole (59.7% versus 59.5% across BC). In addition, there was a higher prevalence of part-time employment reported for Kelowna when compared to the Province (23.6% compared to 21.9% across BC).

In 2011, there were 63,565 individuals living in the City of Kelowna 15 or older in 2011 who were part of the labour force.

The median employment income in Kelowna was \$46,566 in 2011 (2010 incomes). This is approximately \$2,500 or 5.2% less than the median employment income reported for the Province (\$49,143).

Of the 63,565 individuals in the City of Kelowna who were 15 or older and who were eligible to work, 45,635 reported that they worked full-time in 2010. This represents 71.8% of the work force as compared to 72.8% across the Province as a whole. There were also 15,020 individuals living in Kelowna who reported that they worked part time. This represents approximately 23.6% of the work force.

Of those living in Kelowna and who were in the labour force, the 2011 National Household Survey reported that they worked an average of 43.5 weeks in 2010. This is relatively aligned with the rate reported for the Province as a whole (43.9 weeks).

While having employment can help provide increased economic security and stability, single person households (with their dependence on a single income) can experience a higher degree of housing stress and financial insecurity.

Across single person households in Kelowna in 2011, the median household income was \$30,144 while the average household income was \$38,975. Both the median income and the average incomes in Kelowna were marginally lower than the comparable rates seen across BC. The median income was 3.5% lower (\$30,144 in Kelowna versus \$31,236 across BC) while the average income was 3.2% lower than the Provincial average (\$38,975 compared to \$40,265 across BC).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> LIM-AT means "low income measure (after tax) and is a measure that was developed by Statistics Canada to track and report on poverty. LIM-AT reports on the number of households (before and after tax) who have an income which falls below 50% of the median household income while adjusting for difference in household sizes. In 2011, the income cut-off for a single person household based on LIM-AT was an annual household income of \$19,460 after-tax while the income cut-off for a two person household was \$25,721. For a family of three or four the income cut-off was between \$33,706 and \$38,920.

#### (e) Housing

#### i) Household Size and Composition

The 2011 Census reported that there were 49,670 households living in the City of Kelowna in 2011. Of these households, 29.4% were single person households which is marginally higher than the average across BC (28.3%). There was also a total of 14,625 individuals who were living in non-family arrangements in Kelowna. This can include single person households living on their own as well as two or more unrelated individuals sharing their housing.

#### ii) Housing Types

The 24,860 single detached housing units in the City of Kelowna comprise just over half (50.1%) of the entire housing stock (49,665 units) which is slightly higher than the Provincial average (47.7% of the total stock). Apartment units make up 24.8% of the total stock, while 1 in 5 units (20.1%) were row house, townhouse or duplex units (9,980 units). There were also 795 households living in manufactured homes/moveable dwellings (1.6% of the entire housing stock).

#### iii) Condition of the Stock

The housing stock in Kelowna is younger than the general housing stock across BC. Only 7.4% of the housing in Kelowna was built in 1960 or before compared to 16.0% across BC, while almost half (49.3%) of the stock was built between 1961 and 1990. An additional 43.1% was built between 1991 and 2011. Between 2001 and 2011, 22.9% of the housing stock in Kelowna was built compared to only 16.6% across the Province.

The 2011 National Household Survey showed that the large majority of the housing stock in Kelowna is in good repair. Some 47,465 units (95.6% of the housing stock) were identified as requiring only regular maintenance or minor repairs, while 2,200 units (4.4% of the total housing stock) were in need of major repairs. Across the Province 7.2% of the housing stock was in need of major repairs.

#### iv) Tenure

#### Owners

Of the 49,670 households living in Kelowna, 71.5% of 35,310 households were owners while 14,095 households (36.9%) were renters.

The median monthly payment for owners living in Kelowna in 2011 was \$1,064 per month (2010 rates) based on information reported in the 2011 Census.

At \$1,064 the monthly cost of housing for owners living in Kelowna is marginally higher than the comparable median monthly owners' payments reported across the Province (\$1,023). A majority of owner households in Kelowna (58.5%) carried a mortgage compared to 57.3% of owners across BC.

#### Renters

More than 1 in 4 households in Kelowna are renters (28.5%). This translates into 14,095 households. Of the renter households living in the City of Kelowna, the median monthly shelter cost was \$1,001 which is significantly higher than the median monthly shelter costs of \$903 across BC.

In October 2013, CMHC reported average monthly rents of \$885 for the City of Kelowna and a vacancy rate of 1.8% across all units. This is much lower than the vacancy rate in 2012 which was 4.0%. It should be noted that the vacancy rate for a 1-bedroom unit is 1.3% which is also a decrease from the 3.0% vacancy rate in the previous year.

#### (f) Housing market conditions

#### (i) Ownership

The rate of ownership in Kelowna is 71.5% which is slightly higher than the Provincial average (69.8%).

The 2011 National Household Survey reported an average dwelling value of \$467,313 for ownership housing in Kelowna (2010 values) which is 14.0% lower than the Provincial average (\$543,635). Similarly, the median dwelling value of a unit in Kelowna is \$349,099 which is 7.4% lower than the Provincial median. It should be kept in mind, however, that the Provincial average and median prices reflect the particularly high real estate prices that can be seen in the Lower Mainland area of the Province.

#### (ii) Rental

The 2011 National Household Survey identified a total of 14,095 renter households living in Kelowna. Most of these households live in either purpose-built rental housing or social housing. In addition, some renters in Kelowna live in rented secondary suites or single detached homes.

The 2011 National Household Survey showed that 1 in 10 renter households (10.1%) living in the community were living in subsidized housing. This translates into 1,424 households living in subsidized housing in Kelowna.

This number of subsidized renters is a relatively new measure reported by Statistics Canada as part of the National Household Survey and requires some analysis in order to fully understand the implications. One important result is that it is possible to see that the proportion of Kelowna residents receiving some level of housing assistance (10.1%) was somewhat lower when compared to the Province as a whole (13.5%).

#### **Private Rental Housing**

As noted above, the 2011 Census noted that there are 14,095 renter households living in Kelowna.

The average monthly rent as reported by CMHC for a purpose-built rental unit in Kelowna is \$885. For a household to be able to carry the cost of this housing without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing costs, they would need an annual income of \$35,400.

In looking at the rent ranges in Kelowna, CMHC reported an average market rent of \$606 per month for a bachelor unit and \$778 per month for a 1-bedroom unit. While these rents are affordable to some renter households, it is important to note that they are not affordable to households earning a minimum wage, or relying on income assistance.

Similarly, rents at this level would be challenging for low income single seniors relying on OAS/GIS.

#### (g) Housing Affordability Challenges

The 2011 Census also reported that more than half of all renters (51.1%) living in Kelowna were spending 30% or more of their income on their housing costs in 2010. This translates into 7,203 renter households.

At the time of the 2006 Census, there were 1,955 renter households in the City of Kelowna spending 50% or more of their income on their housing costs (15.6% of all renter households). These households are considered to face extreme affordability challenges and are at increased risk of losing their housing or becoming homeless. An additional 305 renter households across the Central Okanagan Region spend 50% or more of their income on their housing costs (15.2% of renter households across the Region). Of the 2,260 households across the region who are in this situation, 86.5% live in the City of Kelowna.

#### (h) Vulnerable and At Risk Households

The 2011 Census reported that 16,675 individuals (14.6%) living in Kelowna are in low income based on LIM-AT. This is somewhat lower than the comparable rate of 16.4% seen across the Province.

This includes 3,705 children and youth under the age of 18 (17.8%) who are living in families that are in poverty, and 1,120 children who are under the age of 6 (17.6%). It also includes 2,505 seniors 65 years or older (12.2%). While still high, each of these measures of low income are somewhat lower than the rates of low income for comparable groups across BC.

Aboriginal people are frequently over-represented among those who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. Data from the 2011 Census and National Household Survey shows that Aboriginal people account for 5.5% of the population in Kelowna and 5.9% of the population within the Region, slightly less than the rate across BC (6.2%). This translates into 5,145 Aboriginal residents in the City and 8,255 in the Region. In looking at ways to effectively respond to the needs of Aboriginal people and communities, it is important to ensure that the services and supports that are provided are culturally responsive and that the history of Aboriginal people and communities in BC is recognized.

# Conclusions

The review of the housing need and demand indicators and community research as set out in this report suggests that there are significant gaps in the continuum of housing and services in the City of Kelowna and the Central Okanagan Region. This includes gaps on the supply-side (a shortage of units that are affordable to households living in the community) and on the demand-side (targeted housing and supports to better meet the specific needs of vulnerable and at risk groups). All of the research suggests that there are a number of families and individuals in the Central Okanagan Region who are facing real challenges in finding and keeping suitable housing and who do not have the resources they need to find housing in the private rental market without some type of assistance and support.

# **Selected References**

Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation, Rental Market Report (Fall 2013)

Statistics Canada. 2011 Census

Statistics Canada 2011. National Household Survey

# SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC PROFILE

POPULATION AND HC	USEHOLD G	ROWTH						
	2001	2006	2011					
Province	3,907,738	4,113,487	4,400,057	The 2011 Census shows that the population in the City of Kelowna and across				
Increase/Decrease		205,749	286,570	<ul> <li>the Central Okanagan Region has continued to increase at a pace fast</li> <li>across the Province as a whole.</li> </ul>				
% Increase		5.3	7.0					
Central Okanagan Region	147,739	162,276	179,839	Between 2006 and 2011, the population of the City grew by 10,277 indiv				
Increase/Decrease		14,537	17,563	This represents an increase of 9.6%.				
% Change		9.8	10.8	The 2011 Census shows that the Central Okanagan Region also grew during				
City of Kelowna	96,288	106,710	117,312	<ul> <li>The 2011 Census shows that the Central Okanagan Region also grew during</li> <li>this time period at a rate of 10.8%. This compares to a rate of growth of 7.0%</li> </ul>				
Increase/Decrease		10,422	10,277	across the Province during this period.				
% Change		9.8	9.6					
HOUSEHOLD GROWTH								
	2001	2006	2011					
Province	1,534,335	1,643,150	1,764,635	The number of households in Kelowna has also continued to grow.				
Increase/Decrease		108,815	121,485	Between 2006 and 2011 the number of households in Kelowna grew by 4,683				
% Change		6.6%	7.4	households. This represents an increase of 9.4% and is an indicator of on-going				
Central Okanagan Region	59,875	67,000	74,942	housing demand.				
Increase/Decrease		7,125	7,942					
% Change		10.6	10.6					
City of Kelowna	40,045	44,985	49,668					
Increase/Decrease		4,940	4,683					
% Change		11.0	9.4					

#### POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD AGE PROFILE

	POPULATION									
		Province		Central C	Okanagan	Kelowna				
		#	%	#	%	#	%			
	Total	4,400,055	100.0	179,840	100.0	117,310	100.0			
	Under 25	1,232,350	28.0	49,035	27.3	32,535	27.7			
	25 – 34	564,765	12.8	21,055	11.7	14,820	12.6			
	35 – 44	594,635	13.5	21,760	12.1	14,215	12.1			
	45 – 54	705,210	16.0	28,140	15.6	17,910	15.3			
	55 – 64	614,375	14.0	25,400	14.1	15,420	13.1			
	65+	688,715	15.7	34,450	19.2	22,415	19.1			
	HOUSEH	OLD								
		Provir	nce	Central C	Okanagan	Kelowna				
		#	%	#	%	#	%			
ZЧ	Total	1,764,640	100.0	74,945	100.0	49,670	100.0			
	Under 25	57,815	3.3	2,645	3.5	2,265	4.6			
	25 – 34	235,955	13.4	9,420	12.6	6,730	13.5			
	35 – 44	308,825	17.5	11,265	15.0	7,540	15.2			
	45 – 54	396,785	22.5	15,760	21.0	10,200	20.5			
	55 – 64	354,395	20.1	14,709	19.6	9,150	18.4			
	65+	410,865	23.3	21,070	28.1	13,785	27.8			

The 2011 Census shows that the age profile of the residents of Kelowna is generally comparable to that of the Province as a whole with one interesting exception. Specifically, the proportion of seniors in Kelowna is higher than across the Province (19.1% compared to 15.7% across the Province).

The 2011 Census also shows that the age profile for residents in the Central Okanagan Region is comparable to the City of Kelowna.

The City of Kelowna has a greater concentration of younger households when compared to the Province as a whole (21% of Kelowna households are headed by someone under 35 compared to only 17% across the Province).

57

# FAMILY AND HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

NOMBER OF HOOSEHOLD I		()				
	Province		Central Okanagan		Kelowna	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total	1,764,635	100.0	74,945	100.0	49,670	100.0
1 Household Maintainer	1,038,910	58.9	42,300	56.4	28,740	57.9%
2 Household Maintainers	673,945	38.2	30,590	40.8	19,365	39.0%
3 or more Household Maintainers	51,775	2.9	2,055	2.7	1,560	3.1%
HOUSEHOLD SIZE						
	Province		Central O	kanagan	Kelowna	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total	1,764,640	100.0	74,945	100.0	49,665	100.0
1 Person	498,925	28.3	19,995	26.7	14,625	29.4
2 People	613,270	34.8	30,080	40.1	19,065	38.4
3 People	264,135	15.0	10,545	14.1	6,795	13.7
4 People	237,725	13.5	9,360	12.5	5,985	12.1
5 People	91,600	5.2	3,365	4.5	2,185	4.4
6 or more People	58,985	3.3	1,600	2.1	1,010	2.0
FAMILY/HOUSEHOLD ARRA	NGEMENTS	(CENSUS	FAMILY AN	D NON-C	ENSUS FA	AMILY)

Province Central Okanagan Kelowna # % # % # % 1,764,640 49,665 100.0 100.0 74,945 100.0 Total 64.3 68.1 Census Families 51,005 31,950 1.183.075 67.0 Single parent Families 143,445 8.1 5,670 7.6 3,885 7.8 Non Family Households 33.0 19,995 26.7 14,625 29.4 498,925 Multi-Family Households 5.045 3,275 6.6 8.0 6.7 141.600

The distribution of 1 and 2 household maintainer households is comparable between the City of Kelowna and the Province as a whole with Kelowna having slightly more 2 household maintainers and slightly fewer single person household maintainers when compared to BC. The proportion of single household maintainer households in Kelowna is 57.9% compared to 58.9% across the Province.

The City of Kelowna has a slightly higher proportion of single person households than is seen across the Province with 29.4% of all households in Kelowna being 1 person households compared to 28.3% across the Province. More than 1 in 3 households (38.4%) in the City of Kelowna are two person households while 32.2% are households of 3 or more.

The City of Kelowna has a smaller proportion of census family households (64.3% of all households) when compared with the Region (68.1%) or the Province (67.0%). At the same time, Kelowna has a comparable proportion of single parent families (7.8% of all households) compared with 8.1% across the Province as a whole. The City also has fewer non-family households (29.4%) compared with the Province (33.0%).

# LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATION

#### LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATION Province Central Okanagan Kelowna Individuals 15 or older in the labour market 2,354,245 96,535 63,565 Participation rate 64.6 64.2 65.0 Employment rate 59.5 59.7 59 Unemployment rate 7.8 8.1 8.1 Average Weeks Worked (2010) 43.9 43.5 43.5 Worked Full-Time (2010) 1,713,540 45,635 68,565 72.8 71.8 % reporting full-time employment 71.0 Worked Part-Time (2010) 22.990 15.020 516,465 23.6 % reporting part-time employment 21.9 23.8 Median commuting time (in min.) 20.4 15.6 15.3 Average Employment Income \$54,021 \$58,016 \$53,961 59 Median Employment Income \$49,143 \$47,207 \$46,566

The employment rate in Kelowna is comparable to that of the Province (59.7% in Kelowna versus 59.5% across BC). In terms of those reporting full time employment, 71.8% of workers in Kelowna work full time versus 72.8% across BC. The unemployment rates are comparable with 8.1% in Kelowna and 7.8% across BC being unemployed.

Median employment income is lower in Kelowna when compared to the BC median income (\$46,566 compared to \$49,143 across BC).

#### HOUSEHOLD INCOME

	Average Income	Median Income				
Province	\$77,378	\$60,333				
Central Okanagan Region	\$74,998	\$59,456				
City of Kelowna	\$74,975	\$57,948				
SINGLE PERSON HOUSEHOLDS						
	Average Income	Median Income				
Province	\$40,265	\$31,236				
Central Okanagan Region	\$38,423	\$30,048				
City of Kelowna	\$38,975	\$30,144				

Median household income is lower in Kelowna than the comparable Provincial figure (\$57,948 in Kelowna compared to \$60,333 across BC). The median income for single person households is also lower in Kelowna compared to the Province of BC (\$30,144 compared to \$31,236 across the Province).

INCOME PROFILE							
	Provir	ісе	Central	Okanagan	Kelo	wna	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	The City of Kelowna has a modestly lower propor-
Total	1,761,789	100.0	67,439	100.0	51,770	100.0	tion of its population reporting incomes of less than \$30,000 compared to the Province (21.8% in the
Under \$10K	96,435	5.5	2,960	4.4	1,770	3.4	City versus 23.3% across the Province (21.8% in the
\$10K to \$19,999	156,565	8.9	6,225	9.2	4,570	8.8	end of the income scale, a comparable number of
\$20K to \$29,999	157,605	8.9	7,155	10.6	4,990	9.6	people in Kelowna have incomes of \$60,000 or
\$30K to \$39,999	167,220	9.5	7,980	11.8	5,505	10.6	more (50.5%) compared to the Province (50.3%).
\$40K to \$49,999	158,400	9.0	7,275	10.8	4,685	9.0	
\$50K to \$59,999	140,340	8.0	6,170	9.1	4,135	8.0	Both are significantly higher than the proportion of
\$60K to \$79,999	246,720	14.0	11,155	16.5	6,855	13.2	households in the Region which have incomes in
\$80K to \$99,999	193,180	11.0	834	1.2	5,410	10.5	excess of \$60,000 (43.9%).
\$100K or more	445,324	25.3	17,685	26.2	13,850	26.8	

# **B. HOUSING CHOICES, HOUSING NEEDS AND HOUSING MARKET CONDITIONS**

#### ABOUT THE HOUSING STOCK

	Provir	ісе	Central	Okanagan	Kelo	Half of	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	detach
Total Units	1,764,635	100.0	42,370	100.0	49,665	100.0	Provinc
Single Detached	842,120	47.7	42,370	56.5	24,860	50.1	in the F
Apartment	505,120	28.6	16,140	21.5	14,030	28.2	Kelowr
Row house /Townhouse	186,075	10.5	7,600	10.1	5,960	12.0	units to
Apartment Duplex	184,355	10.4	5,415	7.2	4,020	8.1	this fig
Movable Dwelling	46,960	2.7	3,420	4.6	795	1.6	units a

alf of the housing units in Kelowna are single etached units (50.1%) compared to 47.7% across the ovince. Approximately 56.5% of the housing units the Region are single detached units. elowna has a comparable number of apartment hits to the Province (28.2% versus 28.6%) although is figure is higher than the proportion of apartment hits across the Region (21.5%).

AGE OF THE HOUSING STOCK									
	Prov	vince	Central C	Okanagan	Kelowna				
	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Total Units	1,764,630	100.0	74,950	100.0	49,670	100.0			
1960 or before	282,675	16.0	4,665	6.2	3,695	7.4			
1961 to 1980	551,655	31.3	22,890	30.5	15,710	31.6			
1981 to 1990	308,450	17.5	12,830	17.1	8,810	17.7			
1991 to 2000	329,780	18.7	16,415	21.9	10,050	20.2			
2001 to 2005	133,235	7.6	8,335	11.1	5,530	11.1			
2006 to 2011	158,845	9.0	9,810	13.1	5,875	11.8			

The housing stock in the City of Kelowna is generally younger compared to the overall Provincial housing stock. Only 7.4% of the housing units in the City of Kelowna was built before 1960 compared to 16.0% across BC. At the same time, 22.9% of the housing units in Kelowna were built between 2001 and 2011 compared to only 16.6% of total units built during this period across BC.

#### CONDITION OF THE HOUSING STOCK

		Prov	ince	Central C	)kanagan	Kelowna		V
		#	%	#	%	#	%	n
61	Total Stock	1,764,630	100.0	74,950	100.0	49,665	100.0	C
	Minor repairs	1,636,875	92.8	71,480	95.4	47,465	95.6	tł
	Major repairs	127,760	7.2	3,470	4.6	2,200	4.4	tł

When looking at the condition of the housing stock, it is possible to note that fewer units in Kelowna are in need of major repairs when compared to the Province as a whole. Overall, 4.4% of the housing in the City is in need of major repairs compared to 7.2% of the stock in the Province.

#### HOUSING TYPE AND TENURE

	Ren	ters	Owners		
	#	%	#	%	
Province	1,202,000	69.8	519,855	30.2	
Central Okanagan Region	52,700	75.2	17,335	24.8	
City of Kelowna	35,310	71.5	14,095	28.5	
AVERAGE HOUSING C	OSTS				
	Average Owners' Payments 2011	Median Owners' Payments 2011	Average Renters' Monthly Payments 2011	Median Renters' Monthly Payments 2011	
Province	\$1,228	\$1,023	\$989	\$903	
Central Okanagan Region	\$1,207	\$1,070	\$1,099	\$1,002	
City of Kelowna	\$1,207	\$1,064	\$1,098	\$1,001	

Approximately 28.5% of households living in Kelowna are renters which is slightly lower than the rate seen across the Province (30.2%) while the rate of ownership is 71.5% in Kelowna and 69.8% across BC.

The average monthly housing cost for owners living in Kelowna was \$1,207 per month (median \$1,064) while the average monthly shelter cost for renters was \$1,098 (\$1,001 median). The median housing costs for both owners and renters are somewhat higher than comparable housing costs across the Province.

For a household to carry the median monthly shelter cost without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing, they would need an annual income of \$42,560 (owners) and \$40,040 (renters).

ACCESS TO THE OWNERSHIP MA	ARKET		
	Province	Central Okanagan	Kelowna
Total Households	1,764,635	74,950	49,670
Number of Owners	1,202,000	52,700	35,310
Part of Condo	397,105	15,520	12,975
% of Owners	68.1	70.3	71.1
% with a Mortgage	57.3	58.5	58.5
Average Dwelling Value	\$543,635	\$481,793	\$467,313
Median Dwelling Value	\$448,835	\$425,221	\$415,710
Average Monthly Payments	\$1,228	\$1,207	\$1,207
Median Monthly Payments	\$1,023	\$933	\$986

The rate of ownership in Kelowna is slightly higher than the Province as a whole (71.1% compared to 68.1% across the Province). However, 58.5% of all owners in Kelowna still have a mortgage compared to 57.3% across the Province.

The median monthly housing cost for owners in Kelowna is less expensive than the Province as a whole (\$986 versus \$1,023 across BC). The median value of a home in Kelowna is slightly lower than the value reported for the Province as a whole (\$415,710 versus \$448,835 across BC).

### RENTAL MARKET CONDITIONS

AVERAGE MARKET RENTS						
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	
Bachelor	\$584	\$587	\$583	\$592	\$606	
1-bedroom	\$737	\$740	\$736	\$750	\$778	
2-bedroom	\$897	\$898	\$922	\$927	\$970	
3+ bedroom	\$1,001	\$1,018	\$1,061	\$1,131	\$1,173	
Total	\$821	\$822	\$840	\$851	\$885	
VACANCY RATES						
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	
Bachelor	0.8	3.8	3.0	2.0	2.0	
1-bedroom	2.4	2.8	2.0	3.0	1.3	
2-bedroom	3.5	4.0	3.7	4.7	2.1	
3+ bedroom	6.5	4.2	3.1	7.3	3.7	
Total	3.0	3.5	3.0	4.0	1.8	

Approximately 3 in 10 households living in Kelowna are renters (28.9%).

The average monthly rental housing cost for those living in Kelowna was \$885. For a household to carry the monthly shelter cost without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing, it would need an annual income of \$35,400.

Based on the most recent Rental Housing Report published by CMHC, the average market rent was \$606 for a bachelor unit and \$778 for a 1-bedroom unit.

These rent levels are affordable to a household with an annual income of between \$24,240 and \$31,120. This is aligned with the median income in the community for single person households. However, it is important to note that 6,340 households (12.2%) of the total population of Kelowna had an annual income of under \$20,000.

62

#### HOUSING AFFORDABILITY PRESSURES

#### HOUSEHOLDS IN CORE HOUSING NEED AND "WORST CASE NEED"

Renter Households Spending 30% or More of Income on Housing 2011 National Household Survey					
Province	235,494	45.3			
Central Okanagan Region	8,737	50.4			
City of Kelowna 7,203 51.					
Renter Households Spending 50% or More of Income on Housing 2006 Census					
Province	64,885	13.4			
Central Okanagan Region	2,260	15.2			
City of Kelowna	1,955	15.6			
ACCESS TO SOCIAL HOUSING					

More than half (51.1%) of renters in Kelowna spend 30% or more of their income on housing. This is higher than the rate across the Province (45.3%).

A greater proportion of renters in the City of Kelowna are also in worst case need (paying 50% or more of their income on housing) when compared to the Province as a whole (15.6% of renters in Kelowna are in worst case need compared to 13.4% of renters across BC).

### 50

	Number Assisted	%	
Province	70,180	13.5	A smaller proportion of renters in Kelowna are in social housing (10.1%) compared to the
Central Okanagan Region	1,734	10.0	Provincial total (13.5%).
City of Kelowna	1,424	10.1	

### **VULNERABLE AND AT RISK POPULATIONS**

INDIVIDUALS LIVING IN	INDIVIDUALS LIVING IN LOW INCOME						
	#	%	Statistics Canada uses a number of measures to report on households living in low income. These				
Province	696,850	can include both before tax and after tax measures. The information in this section reports on the incidence of individuals in low income in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on LIM-AT – low income mea-					
Central Okanagan Region	23,690	14.2	sure after tax. This measure is a fixed percentage (50%) of the median adjusted after-tax household				
City of Kelowna	16,675	14.6	income and takes into account differences in household size. Based on this measure, the incidence of households living in low income in the Kelowna is 14.6%—slightly lower than the Province as a whole. For a single person household the income cut-off for 2011 was \$19,460 while the cut-off for a 2 person household was \$25,721.				

CHILDREN UNDER 6 LI	VING IN LOW	INCOME						
	#	%	There were 1,120 children (17.7%) under 6 living in families who were in low income based on the					
Province	47,725	18.5	information reported in the 2011 Census using LIM-AT (low income measure after tax) as the thresh-					
Central Okanagan Region	1,650	16.7	old. This is slightly lower than the Provincial rate of 18.5%. For a single parent family household with					
City of Kelowna	1,120	17.7	one child, the established income threshold is \$25,721 while a three person household would be considered to be in low income at \$33,706. A couple with two children who had an after-tax income of \$38,920 would be considered to be in low income under this measure.					
CHILDREN AND YOUT	H (Under 18)	LIVING IN	LOW INCOME					
	#	%	There were also 3,705 children under the age of 18 (17.9%) who were living in Kelowna in families					
Province	157,250	19.1	with incomes that were below the established income thresholds and who were considered to be liv-					
Central Okanagan	5,730	17.7	ing in poverty. The incidence of children between the ages of 6 and 18 living in low income is					
City of Kelowna 3,705		17.9	in Kelowna when compared to the Province as a whole.					
No.	%							
SENIORS (65+) LIVING	IN LOW INCC	OME						
	#	%	Approximately 12.2% of seniors in Kelowna were considered to be in low income in 2011 (2010					
Province	88,235	13.9	incomes) based on the low income measure after tax (LIM-AT) published by Statistics Canada. This is					
Central Okanagan	3,410	11.4	slightly below the incidence of low income reported across the Province for senior-led households					
City of Kelowna	2,505	12.2	(13.9%) and includes both low income single seniors and couples. A single senior would be considered to be in low income if their after-tax income was \$19,460 or less while a senior couple would be considered to be in low income if their after tax income was at or below \$25,721.					
ABORIGINAL PEOPLE		1						
	#	%	The City of Kelowna has a slightly lower incidence of aboriginal residents when compared to the					
Province	267,085	6.2	Province as a whole. A total of 6,325 of the City's residents (5.5%) are Aboriginal compared to 6.2%					
Central Okanagan 10,370 5.9 across the Province.		across the Province.						
Kelowna	6,325	5.5						

This Housing Need and Demand Study prepared for Nelson identifies key measures of housing need as set out in the "Housing Need and Demand Study Template" developed by the BC Non Profit Housing Association (BCNPHA) and BC Housing.

# **Key Components**

Key elements in this report include measures related to current housing needs, estimated future demand as well as an analysis of the existing inventory of housing in the community.

### A. Current Needs

This section provides an overview of existing and emerging needs in Nelson. The information reported in this section includes key social, demographic and economic data related to existing and future housing demand.

### (a) Population

Nelson is a small city located on Kootenay Lake in the Central Kootenay Region in south-eastern BC. At the time of the 2011 Census, there were 10,230 individuals living in Nelson. Both the City of Nelson and the Central Kootenay Regional District grew between 2006 and 2011.

Between 2006 and 2011, the population of Nelson grew by 972 individuals (10.5%). At the same time, the population of the Central Kootenay Region grew by 2,558 individuals (4.6%).

Of the estimated increase in the population across the Region, 38.0% of the growth was concentrated in the City of Nelson.

As the City of Nelson continues to grow, it is likely that this growth will create increased housing demand.

# (b) Age Profile

At the time of the 2011 Census, more than 1 in 4 individuals living in the City of Nelson were under the age of 25 (28.0%). At the same time, 15.4% of residents were 65 or older.

In looking at the general age profile of households living in Nelson, the 2011 Census/National Household Survey reported that almost 1 in 4 households (22.1%) was led by someone 65 or older. There were also an additional 18.8% of households led by someone between the ages of 55 and 64. Only 1 in 5 households (20.5%) were led by someone under the age of 35.

# (c) Income Profile

The median household income in the City of Nelson in 2011 (2010 incomes) was 20.3% lower than the median household income for BC (\$48,077 compared to \$60,333 across BC). However, the median household income in the Region was higher than the Provincial median income.

The median household income for single person households in Nelson was \$30,520 which is only marginally less than the median across BC (\$31,236).

Of the 4,625 households in the City of Nelson, approximately 2,910 (62.9%) were led by a single household maintainer. Some of these households are single senior-led households while others are single young adults. However, there are

also 465 single parent family households living in the community (10.1% of total households). The 2011 Census also noted that there were 710 households living in the City of Nelson who had an annual income of less than \$20,000. This represents 15.4% of all households living in the community.

The 2011 Census reported that there were 2,010 individuals (20.1%) living in the City of Nelson who were living in poverty in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on Statistics Canada Low Income Measure After Tax (LIM-AT). Across the Central Kootenay Region a total of 11,475 people (20.1%) were living in low income.

#### (d) Labour Force and Employment

The overall level of labour force participation in the City of Nelson was 1.0% greater than the comparable Provincial rate (65.6% compared to 64.6%). The employment rate was also marginally higher in Nelson than it was across the Province as a whole (60.0% versus 59.5% across BC). In addition, there was a higher prevalence of part-time employment reported for Nelson when compared to the Province.

In 2011, there were 5,435 individuals living in the City of Nelson 15 or older in 2011 who were part of the labour force.

The median employment income in Nelson was \$48,074 in 2011 (2010 incomes). This is more than \$1,000 less than the median employment income reported for the Province (\$49,143).

Of the 5,435 individuals in the City of Nelson who were 15 or older and who were eligible to work, 3,720 reported that they worked full-time in 2010. This represents 68.4% of the work force. There were also 1,430 individuals living in Nelson who reported that they worked part time. This represents approximately 26.3% of the work force.

Of those living in Nelson and who were in the labour force, the 2011 National Household Survey reported that they worked an average of 43.2 weeks in 2010. This is relatively aligned with the rate reported for the Province as a whole (43.9 weeks).

While having employment can help provide increased economic security and stability, single person households (with their dependence on a single income) can experience a higher degree of housing stress and financial insecurity.

Across single person households in Nelson in 2011, the median household income was \$30,520 while the average household income was \$35,448. Median incomes across the Province were comparable (\$30,520 in Nelson versus \$31,236 across BC). However, average incomes were significantly lower (by 12.0%) in Nelson when compared to the Provincial average (\$35,448 compared to \$40,265 across BC).

#### (e) Housing

#### i) Household Size and Composition

The 2011 Census reported that there were 4,625 households living in the City of Nelson in 2011. Of these households, 1,695 (36.6%) were single person households which is significantly higher than the average across BC (28.3%). There was also a total of 1,695 individuals who were living in non-family arrangements in Nelson. This can include single person households living on their own as well as two or more unrelated individuals sharing their housing.

LIM-AT means "low income measure (after tax) and is a measure that was developed by Statistics Canada to track and report on poverty. LIM-AT reports on the number of households (before and after tax) who have an income which falls below 50% of the median household income while adjusting for difference in household sizes. In 2011, the income cut-off for a single person household based on LIM-AT was an annual household income of \$19,460 after-tax while the income cut-off for a two person household was \$25,721. For a family of three or four the income cut-off was between \$33,706 and \$38,920. 66

#### ii) Housing Types

The 2,510 single detached housing units in the City of Nelson comprise over half (54.4%) of the entire housing stock (35,020 units) which is somewhat higher than the Provincial average (47.7% of the total stock). Apartment units make up 1 in 4 units (24.8%), while 18.8% were row house, townhouse or duplex units (865 units). There were also 95 house-holds living in manufactured homes/moveable dwellings (2.1% of the entire housing stock).

#### iii) Condition of the Stock

Almost half (49.2%) of the housing in Nelson (49.2%) was built in 1960 or before compared to 16.0% across BC, while 1 in 4 units (24.1%) were built between 1961 and 1980. Only 26.6% of the housing stock in Nelson (1,235 units) was built since 1980 which is considerably lower than the 52.8% of units built during this period across the Province as a whole. Similarly, 11.6% of the housing stock in Nelson was built between 2001 and 2011 compared to 16.6% across the Province.

While the 2011 National Household Survey showed that the majority of the housing stock in Nelson is in good repair, the age of the stock leads to a higher need for major repairs. Some 4,105 units (88.7% of the housing stock) were identified as requiring only regular maintenance or minor repairs, while 525 units (11.3% of the total housing stock) were in need of major repairs. Across the Province 7.2% of the housing stock was in need of major repairs.

#### iv) Tenure

#### Owners

Of the 4,625 households living in Nelson, less than 2 in 3 (2,915 households or 63.1%) were owners while 1,705 (36.9%) were renters.

The median monthly payment for owners living in Nelson in 2011 was \$717 per month (2010 rates) based on information reported in the 2011 Census.

At \$717, the monthly cost of housing for owners living in Nelson is significantly lower than the comparable median monthly owners' payments reported across the Province (\$1,023). Just more than half (52.2%) of owner households in Nelson carried a mortgage compared to 57.3% of owners across BC.

#### Renters

More than 1 in 3 households in Nelson are renters (36.9%). This translates into 1,705 households. Of the renter households living in the City of Nelson, the median monthly shelter cost was \$801 which is significantly lower than the median monthly shelter costs of \$903 across BC.

In October 2013, CMHC reported average monthly rents of \$708 for the City of Nelson and a vacancy rate of 1.9% across all units. It should be noted that the vacancy rate for 1-bedroom units is only 0.5% which constitutes a very tight rental market.

#### (f) Housing market conditions

#### (i) Ownership

The rate of ownership in Nelson is 63.1% which is somewhat lower than the Provincial average (69.8%).

The 2011 National Household Survey reported an average dwelling value of \$365,792 for ownership housing in Nelson (2010 values) which is 32.7% lower than the Provincial average (\$543,635). Similarly, the median dwelling value of a unit in Nelson is \$349,099 which is 22.0% lower than the Provincial median (\$448,835). It should be kept in mind, however, that the Provincial average and median prices reflect the particularly high real estate prices that can be seen in the Lower Mainland area of the Province.

#### (ii) Rental

The 2011 National Household Survey identified a total of 1,705 renter households living in Nelson. Most of these households live in either purpose-built rental housing or social housing. In addition, some renters in Nelson live in rented secondary suites or single detached homes.

The 2011 National Household Survey showed that 15.8% of renter households living in the community were living in subsidized housing. This translates into 269 households living in subsidized housing in Nelson.

This number of subsidized renters is a relatively new measure reported by Statistics Canada as part of the National Household Survey and requires some analysis in order to fully understand the implications. One important result is that it is possible to see that the proportion of Nelson residents receiving some level of housing assistance (15.8%) was somewhat higher when compared to the Province as a whole (13.5%) as well as many other communities and regions across BC.

#### **Private Rental Housing**

As noted above, there are 1,705 renter households living in Nelson. The average monthly rent as reported by CMHC for a purpose-built rental unit in Nelson is \$708. For a household to be able to carry the cost of this housing without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing costs, they would need an annual income of \$28,320.

In looking at the rent ranges in Nelson, CMHC reported an average market rent of \$527 per month for a bachelor unit and \$657 per month for a 1-bedroom unit. While these rents are relatively affordable for some renter households, it is important to note that they are not affordable to households earning a minimum wage, or relying on income assistance. Similarly, rents at this level would be challenging for low income single seniors relying on OAS/GIS.

#### (g) Housing Affordability Challenges

The 2011 Census also reported that almost half of all renters (47.1%) living in Nelson were spending 30% or more of their income on their housing costs in 2010. This translates into 804 renter households.

At the time of the 2006 Census, there were 240 households in the City of Nelson spending 50% or more of their income on their housing costs (15.9% of all renter households). These households are considered to face extreme affordability challenges and are at increased risk of losing their housing or becoming homeless. An additional 500 renter households across the Central Kootenay Region spend 50% or more of their income on their housing costs (14.1% of renter households across the Region). Of the 740 households across the region who are in this situation, 32.4% live in the City of Nelson.

#### (h) Vulnerable and At Risk Households

The 2011 Census reported that 12,010 individuals (20.1% or more than 1 in 5) living in Nelson are in low income based on LIM-AT. This is higher than the comparable rate of 16.4% seen across the Province.

This includes approximately 580 children and youth under the age of 18 (28.0%) who are living in families that are in poverty, as well as 240 children who are under the age of 6 (28.0%). It also includes 210 seniors 65 years or older (15.5%). Each of these measures are significantly higher than the rates of low income for comparable groups across BC.

Aboriginal people are frequently over-represented among those who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. Data from the 2011 Census and National Household Survey shows that Aboriginal people account for 5.0% of the population in Nelson and 6.9% of the population within the Region. This translates into 510 Aboriginal residents in the City and 4,045 in the Region. In looking at ways to respond to the needs of Aboriginal peoples, it is important to ensure that the services and supports that are provided are culturally responsive and that the history of Aboriginal people and communities in BC is recognized.

# Conclusions

The review of the housing need and demand indicators and community research as set out in this report suggests that there are significant gaps in the continuum of housing and services in the City of Nelson and the Central Kootenay Region. This includes gaps on the supply-side (a shortage of units that are affordable to households living in the community) and on the demand-side (targeted housing and supports to better meet the specific needs of vulnerable and at risk groups). All of the research suggests that there are a number of families and individuals in the Central Kootenay Region who are facing real challenges in finding and keeping suitable housing and who do not have the resources they need to find housing in the private rental market without some type of assistance and support.

# **Selected References**

Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation, Rental Market Report (Fall 2013)

Statistics Canada. 2011 Census

Statistics Canada 2011. National Household Survey

# CURRENT SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC PROFILE

POPULATION AND HO	POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD GROWTH					
	2001	2006	2011	The 2011 Census shows that the population in the City of Nelson has continued to		
Province	3,907,738	4,113,487	4,400,057	increase.		
Increase/Decrease		205,749	286,570	Potypoon 2006 and 2011 the nonulation grow by 072 individuals. This concerns an		
% Increase		5.3	7.0	Between 2006 and 2011, the population grew by 972 individuals. This represents an increase of 10.5%.		
Central Kootenay Region	57,019	55,883	58,441			
Increase/Decrease		-1,136	2,558	The 2011 Census shows that the Central Kootenay Region also grew during this time		
% Change		-2.0	4.6	period although the rate of growth in the Region (4.6%) was less than half that of the		
City of Nelson	9,318	9,258	10,230	City of Nelson.		
Increase/Decrease		-60	972	Both the City and the Region lost net population between 2001 and 2006.		
% Change		-0.6	10.5	both the end and the neglon tost het population between 2001 and 2000.		
HOUSEHOLD GROW	ГН					
	2001	2006	2011	The number of households in Nelson has continued to grow.		
Province	1,534,335	1,643,150	1,764,635			
Increase/Decrease		108,815	121,485	Between 2006 and 2011 the number of households in Nelson grew by 467 households This represents an increase of 10.1% and is an indicator of on-going housing demand.		
% Change		6.6	7.4			
Central Kootenay Region	24,205	24,685	25,806			
Increase/Decrease		480	1,121			
% Change		1.9	4.3			
City of Nelson	4,075	4,160	4,627			
Increase/Decrease		85	467			
% Change		2.0	10.1			

### POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD AGE PROFILE

#### POPULATION

	Province		Central I	Kootenay	Nel	son		
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Total	4,400,055	100.0	58,440	100.0	10,230	100.0		
Under 25	1,232,350	28.0	14,630	25.0	2,865	28.0		
25 – 34	564,765	12.8	5,600	9.6	1,370	13.4		
35 – 44	594,635	13.5	7,080	12.1	1,435	14.0		
45 – 54	705,210	16.0	9,340	16.0	1,535	15.0		
55 – 64	614,375	14.0	10,415	17.8	1,450	14.2		
65+	688,715	15.7	11,380	19.5	1,575	15.4		
HOUSEHO	LD							
	Prov	vince	Central I	Kootenay	Nelson			
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Total	1,764,640	100.0	25,805	100.0	4,625	100.0		
Under 25	57,815	3.3	420	1.6	200	4.3		
25 – 34	235,955	13.4	2,530	9.8	750	16.2		
35 – 44	308,825	17.5	3,945	15.3	815	17.6		
45 – 54	396,785	22.5	5,455	21.1	970	21.0		
55 – 64	354,395	20.1	6,170	23.9	870	18.8		
65+	410,865	23.3	7,285	28.2	1,020	22.1		

The 2011 Census shows that the age profile of the residents of Nelson is generally comparable to that of the Province as a whole. 41.4% of Nelson residents are under the age of 35 compared to 40.8% across the Province. Those between the ages of 35 and 54 account for 29% of the population in Nelson compared to 28.1% across the Province. Those 55 or older account for 29.6% of the population in Nelson versus 29.7% across the Province.

The 2011 Census shows that the Central Kootenay Region has an older age profile when compared to the City of Nelson and the Province as a whole with only 34.6% of the regional population under the age of 35 (compared to 41.4% in the City), while 37.3% are 55 or older (compared to 29.6% in the City).

#### HOUSEHOLD SIZE

#### NUMBER OF MAINTAINERS

	Province		Central H	Kootenay	Nelson	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total	1,764,635	100.0	24,045	100.0	4,625	100.0
1 Household Maintainer	1,038,910	58.9	14,000	58.2	2,910	62.9
2 Household Maintainers	673,945	38.2	9,795	40.7	1,555	33.6
3 or more Household Maintainers	51,775	2.9	250	1.0	160	3.5

While the difference is modest, there are more households with a single household maintainer in Nelson than across the Region or the Province (62.9% in the City versus 58.2% in the Region and 58.9% across the Province).

#### HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	Province		Central Kootenay		Nelson	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total	1,764,640	100.0	25,810	100.0	4,625	100.0
1 Person	498,925	28.3	7,900	30.6	1,695	36.6
2 People	613,270	34.8	10,515	40.7	1,515	32.8
3 People	264,135	15.0	3,295	12.8	660	14.3
4 People	237,725	13.5	2,835	11.0	560	12.1
5 People	91,600	5.2	850	3.3	150	3.2
6 or more People	58,985	3.3	415	1.6	45	1.0

The City of Nelson has a larger proportion of single person households than either the Province or the Central Kootenay Region with 37% of all households in Nelson being 1 person households compared to 31% in the Region and only 28% across the Province. At the same time, the City of Nelson has fewer households of 5 or more people (4%) compared with the Region (5%) and the Province (9%).

# FAMILY/HOUSEHOLD ARRANGEMENTS (CENSUS FAMILY AND NON-CENSUS FAMILY) Province Central Kootenay Nelson # % # % # %

Total 1,764,640 100.0 25,810 100.0 4,625 100.0 **Census Families** 16,960 2.630 65.7 56.9 1.183.075 67.0 Single parent Families 143,445 1,995 7.7 465 10.1 8.1 Non Family Households 498,925 7,895 1,695 36.6 33.0 30.6 Multi-Family Households 8.0 4.5 5.0 141.600 1.165 230

The City of Nelson has a smaller proportion of census family households (57% of all households) when compared with the Region (66%) or the Province (67%). At the same time, Nelson has a larger proportion of single parent families (10% of all households) compared with 8% across the Region and the Province as a whole. The City also has more non-family households (37%) compared with the Region (31%) and the Province (33%).

# LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATION

LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATIO	JN	1		
	Province	Central Kootenay	Nelson	The employment rate in Nelson is comparable to that of the Province
Individuals 15 or older in the labour market	2,354,245	29,135	5,435	however those reporting full time employment is modestly lower
Participation rate	64.6	60.1	65.6	(68% in Nelson compared to 73% across the Province). Part-time
Employment rate	59.5	53.6	60	employment is higher in Nelson (26% versus 22% across the Province).
Unemployment rate	7.8	10.7	8.6	The unemployment rate is slightly (0.7%) higher in Nelson compared to the Province as a whole (8.6% versus 7.8%).
Average Weeks Worked (2010)	43.9	42.5	43.2	
Worked Full-Time (2010)	1,713,540	19,575	3,720	
% reporting full-time employment	72.8	67.2	68.4	
Worked Part-Time (2010)	516,465	8,140	1,430	
% reporting part-time employment	21.9	27.9	26.3	
Median commuting time (in min.)	20.4	15.2	10.8	
Average Employment Income	\$58,016	\$52,800	\$56,692	
Median Employment Income	\$49,143	\$48,609	\$48,074	

#### LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATION

#### HOUSEHOLD INCOME

		Average Income	Median Income					
Province		\$77,378	\$60,333					
Central Ko	ootenay Region	\$78,520	\$66,049					
City of Ne	lson	\$65,109	\$48,077					
SINGLE	SINGLE PERSON HOUSEHOLDS							

Median household income is significantly lower in Nelson than the comparable Provincial figure (\$48,077 in Nelson compared to \$60,333 across BC). The gap for single person households is much narrower although it is still marginally lower in Nelson (\$30,520 compared to \$31,236 across the Province).

SINGLET ERSONTHOUSEHOLDS								
	Average Income	Median Income						
Province	\$40,265	\$31,236						
Central Kootenay Region	\$41,990	\$31,394						
City of Nelson	\$35,448	\$30,520						

INCOME PROFILE							
	Provi	nce	Central K	lootenay	Nelson		The City of Nelson has a grea
	#	%	#	%	#	%	population (29%) with an inco
Total	1,761,789	100.0	25,805	100.0	4,615	100.0	compared to 28% in the Region
Under \$10K	96,435	5.5	1,345	5.2	190	4.1	Province. At the upper end of
\$10K to \$19,999	156,565	8.9	2,920	11.3	520	11.3	people in Nelson have incom
\$20K to \$29,999	157,605	8.9	2,855	11.1	605	13.1	(42%) compared to the Provir
\$30K to \$39,999	167,220	9.5	3,275	12.7	565	12.2	is slightly more than the 41% Region which have incomes i
\$40K to \$49,999	158,400	9.0	2,715	10.5	485	10.5	Region which have incomes i
\$50K to \$59,999	140,340	8.0	2,255	8.7	310	6.7	
\$60K to \$79,999	246,720	14.0	3,385	13.1	655	14.2	
\$80K to \$99,999	193,180	11.0	2,445	9.5	455	9.9	
\$100K or more	445,324	25.3	4,610	17.9	830	18.0	

eater proportion of its come of less than \$30,000 gion and 23% across the of the income scale, fewer mes of \$60,000 or more vince (50%). However, this % of households in the in excess of \$60,000.

#### **B. HOUSING CHOICES, HOUSING NEEDS AND HOUSING MARKET CONDITIONS**

#### **ABOUT THE HOUSING STOCK**

	Province		Central K	lootenay	Nelson	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total Units	1,764,635	100.0	25,815	100.0	4,615	100.0
Single Detached	842,120	47.7	19,820	76.8	2,510	54.4
Apartment	505,120	28.6	1,815	7.0	1,145	24.8
Row house /Town- house	186,075	10.5	1,190	4.6	410	8.9
Apartment Duplex	184,355	10.4	960	3.7	455	9.9
Movable Dwelling	46,960	2.7	2,030	7.9	95	2.1

Over half (54%) of all housing units in Nelson are single family units compared to 48% across BC. However, more than 3 in 4 units (77%) in the Region are single detached.

Nelson has fewer apartment units than across the Province (25% versus 29%). Nelson also has fewer townhouse and apartment duplex units than across the Province while having more than across the Region. Much of this is a function of the urban profile of Nelson compared to the largely rural nature of the Central Kootenay Region.

AGE OF THE HOUSING STOCK								
	Province		Central K	ootenay	Nelson			
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Total Units	1,764,630	100.0	25,810	100.0	4,630	100.0		
1960 or before	282,675	16.0	7,245	28.1	2,280	49.2		
1961 to 1980	551,655	31.3	8,280	32.1	1,115	24.1		
1981 to 1990	308,450	17.5	3,060	11.9	235	5.1		
1991 to 2000	329,780	18.7	3,780	14.6	460	9.9		
2001 to 2005	133,235	7.6	1,470	5.7	155	3.3		
2006 to 2011	158,845	9.0	1,970	7.6	385	8.3		

The housing stock in the City of Nelson is much older than in the Region or across the province. Almost half (49%) of the housing in Nelson was built before 1960, compared to 28% in the Region and only 16% across BC. Between 2001 and 2011 there were approximately 540 units added in the City representing 12% of the total housing stock.

#### CONDITION OF THE HOUSING STOCK

		Province		Central K	ootenay	Nelson	
		#	%	#	%	#	%
	Total Stock	1,764,630	100.0	25,805	100.0	4,630	100.0
7	Minor repairs	1,636,875	92.8	23,005	89.1	4,105	88.7
	Major repairs	127,760	7.2	2,800	10.8	525	11.3

# When looking at the condition of the housing stock, particularly within the context of a relatively mature portfolio, it emerges that a larger proportion of the units in both the City of Nelson and the Central Kootenay Region are in need of major repairs when compared to the Province as a whole. Overall, 11% of the housing in the City and the Region is in need of major repairs compared to only 7.2% of the stock in the Province.

#### HOUSING TYPE AND TENURE

	Own	ners	Renters		
	#	%	#	%	
Province	1,202,000	69.8	519,855	30.2	
Central Kootenay Region	18,465	78.4	5,095	21.6	
City of Nelson	2,915	63.1	1,705	36.9	
AVERAGE HOUSING C	OSTS				
	Average Owners' Payments 2011	Median Owners' Payments 2011	Average Renters' Monthly Payments 2011	Median Renters' Monthly Payments 2011	
Province	\$1,228	\$1,023	\$989	\$903	
Central Kootenay Region	ral Kootenay Region \$997		\$791	\$750	
City of Nelson	\$983	717\$	\$904	\$801	

Approximately 36.9% of households living in Nelson are renters which is significantly higher than both the Region (21.6%) and the Province (30.2%). Conversely, there is a smaller proportion of owners in Nelson (63%) than across the Region (78%) or the Province (70%).

The average monthly housing cost for owners living in Nelson was \$983 per month (median \$717) while the average monthly shelter cost for renters was \$904 (\$801 median).

For a household to carry the monthly shelter cost without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing, it would need an annual income of \$28,680 (owners) and \$32,040 (renters).

ACCESS TO THE OWNERSHIP MARKET								
	Province Central Ko							
Total Households	1,764,635	24,045	4,630					
Number of Owners	1,202,000	18,465	2,915					
Part of Condo	397,105	2,010	350					
% of Owners	68.1	76.8	63.0					
% with a Mortgage	57.3	57.0	52.2					
Average Dwelling Value	\$543,635	\$358,458	\$365,792					
Median Dwelling Value	\$448,835	\$300,715	\$349,879					
Average Monthly Payments	\$1,228	\$997	\$983					
Median Monthly Payments	\$1,023	\$844	\$717					

The rate of ownership in Nelson is slightly lower than the Province as a whole (63.0% versus 68.1% across BC). Similarly, 52.2% of all owners in Nelson still have a mortgage compared to 57.3% across the Province.

The median monthly housing cost for owners in Nelson is relatively affordable when compared to the Province as a whole (\$717 versus \$1,023 across BC). The median value of a home in Nelson is approximately \$100,000 lower than the median dwelling value reported for the Province as a whole (\$349,879 versus \$448,835 across BC).

## RENTAL MARKET CONDITIONS

#### AVERAGE MARKET RENTS

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Bachelor	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$527
1-bedroom	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$657
2-bedroom	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$792
3+ bedroom	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$—
Total	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$708
VACANCY R	ATES				
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Bachelor	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.7
1-bedroom	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.5
2-bedroom	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.0
3+ bedroom	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	_
Total	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.9

Unlike other communities in this study, CMHC only began to track information on rents and vacancy rates for Nelson starting in 2013.

Approximately 37.0% of households living in Nelson are renters compared to 31.9% across the Province. The average monthly rental housing cost was \$708/ month. For a household to carry the monthly shelter cost without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing, they would need an annual income of \$28,320.

Based on the most recent Rental Housing Report published by CMHC, the average market rent was \$527 for a bachelor unit and \$657 for a 1-bedroom unit. These rent levels are affordable to a household with an annual income of between \$21,080 and \$26,280. This is aligned with the median income in the community for single person households. However, it is important to note that there were approximately 710 households living in Nelson (15.4% of total households) who had an annual income of under \$20,000.

HOUSING AFFORDAB	ILITY PRESSURES		
HOUSEHOLDS IN CO	RE HOUSING NEE	ED AND "WORST (	CASE NEED"
Renter Households Spending 30% or More of Income on Housing 2011 National Household Survey			Almost half (47.1%) of renters in Nelson are spending 30% or more of their income on housing. This is slightly higher than across the Province (45.3%).
Province	235,494	45.3	
Central Kootenay Region	1,910	38.4	A greater proportion of renters in the City of Nelson are also in worst case need (paying
City of Nelson	804	47.1	50% or more of their income on housing) when compared to the Province as a whole
Renter Households Spending 50% or More of Income on Housing 2006 Census			(15.9% of renters in Nelson compared to 13.4% of renters across BC).
Province	64,885	13.4	
Central Kootenay Region	740	14.1	
City of Nelson	240	15.9	
ACCESS TO SOCIAL H	OUSING		
	Number Assisted	%	A larger proportion of renters in Nelson are in social housing (16.1%) compared to the
Province	70,180	13.5	Provincial totals (13.5%).
Central Kootenay Region	801	16.1	
City of Nelson	269	15.8	

INDIVIDUALS LIVING I			
	#	%	Statistics Canada uses a number of measures to report on households living in low income. These can include both
Province	696,850	16.4	before tax and after tax measures. The information in this section reports on the incidence of individual in low income
Central Kootenay Region	11,475	20.1	in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on LIM-AT – low income measure after tax. This measure is a fixed percentage (50%) of
City of Nelson	2,010	20.1	the median adjusted after-tax household income and takes into account differences in household size. Based on this measure, the incidence of households living in low income in Nelson and the Central Kootenay Region was higher than the Province as a whole based on information reported in the 2011 Census using LIM-AT (low income measure after tax) as the threshold. In Nelson, 20% of individuals had an income below the established income cut-off. For a single person household the income cut-off for 2011 (2010 incomes) was \$19,460 while the income cut-off for a two person household was \$25,721.

CHILDREN UNDER 6 LI	IVING IN LOV	V INCOME	
Province Central Kootenay Region City of Nelson	# 47,725 1,035 240	% 18.5 25.4 28.0	There were 240 children under 6 living in families who were in low income based on the information reported in the 2011 Census using LIM-AT (low income measure after tax) as the threshold. This represents 28% of this population and includes children from single parent family households as well as from working poor families. For a single parent family household with one child, the established income threshold is \$25,721 while a three person household would be considered to be in low income at \$33,706. A couple with two children who had an after-tax income of \$38,920 would also be considered to be in low income under this measure. It should also be noted that the incidence of low income among children under 6 is significantly higher than the comparable Provincial rate (28% versus 19% across the Province).
CHILDREN AND YOUT	H (UNDER 18	) LIVING IN	LOW INCOME
Province Central Kootenay Region City of Nelson SENIORS (65+) LIVING	# 157,250 2,770 580 IN LOW INCO #	% 19.1 25.4 28.0 OME %	There were also 580 children under the age of 18 in Nelson living in families with incomes that were below the established income thresholds and who were considered to be living in poverty. The incidence of children between the ages of 6 and 18 living in low income in Nelson is significantly higher when compared to the Province as a whole (28.0% versus 19.1% across the Province).
Province Central Kootenay Region City of Nelson	88,235 1,705 210	13.9 16.1 15.5	based on the low income measure after tax (LIM-AT) published by Statistics Canada. This is slightly higher than the incidence of low income reported across the Province for senior-led households (13.9%) and includes both low income single seniors and couples. A single senior would be considered to be in low income if their after-tax income was \$19,460 or less while a senior couple would be considered to be in low income if their after tax income was at or below \$25,721.
ABORIGINAL PEOPLE			
Province Central Kootenay Region City of Nelson	# 267,085 4,045 510	% 6.2 6.9 5.0	The City of Nelson has a smaller proportion of aboriginal residents when compared to the Province as a whole. One in 20 (5.0%) of the City's 10,230 residents are Aboriginal. This represents 510 individuals. The corresponding rate across BC is 6.2%.

This housing need and demand review identifies key measures of housing need in the City of Nanaimo and the Nanaimo Regional District as set out in the "Housing Need and Demand Study Template" developed by the BC Non Profit Housing Association (BCNPHA) and BC Housing.

# **Key Components**

Key elements in this report include measures related to current housing needs, estimated future demand as well as an analysis of the existing inventory of housing in the community.

#### A. Current Needs

This section provides an overview of existing and emerging needs in Nanaimo. The information reported in this section includes key social, demographic and economic data related to existing and future housing demand.

#### (a) Population

Nanaimo is a mid-sized city located on the east coast of Vancouver Island. At the time of the 2011 Census, there were 83,810 individuals living in Nanaimo. Both the City of Nanaimo and the Nanaimo Regional District grew between 2006 and 2011.

Between 2006 and 2011, the population of the City of Nanaimo grew by over 5,000 individuals (5,118 or 6.1%). At the same time, the population of the Nanaimo Region grew by almost 8,000 individuals (7,943 individuals or 5.7%).

Of the estimated increase in the population across the Region, 64.4% of the growth was concentrated in the City.

As the City of Nanaimo continues to grow, it is likely that this growth will create increased housing demand.

#### (b) Age Profile

Seniors and near seniors represent a significant proportion of households living in Nanaimo with almost 1 in 5 individuals (19.7%) being seniors compared to 15.7% across the Province.

At the same time, more than 1 in 4 individuals living in the City of Nanaimo was under the age of 25 (26.7%). This is slightly lower than the comparable rate of 28.0% seen across the Province.

In looking at the general age profile of households living in Nanaimo, the 2011 Census/National Household Survey reported that more than 1 in 4 households (27.8%) was led by someone 65 or older. There were also an additional 20.4% of households led by someone between the ages of 55 and 64. Those between the ages of 35 and 54 accounted for 36.7% of household heads. Only 16.0% of households in Nanaimo were led by someone under the age of 35 which is slightly lower than the Provincial average of 16.7% of households with a head under the age of 35.

#### (c) Income Profile

The median household income in the City of Nanaimo in 2011 (2010 incomes) was 12.6% lower than the median household income for BC (\$52,744 compared to \$60,333 across BC). The median household income in the Region was \$53,780 which was between the median income in the City and that seen across the Province as a whole.

The median household income for single person households in Nanaimo was \$27,843 which is 10.9% less than the median across BC (\$31,236).

Of the 36,205 households in the City of Nanaimo, 21,750 (60.1%) were led by a single household maintainer. Some of these households are single senior-led households while others are single young adults. However, there are also 3,270 single parent family households living in the community (9.0% of total households).

The 2011 Census also noted that there were 5,840 households living in the City of Nanaimo who had an annual income of less than \$20,000. This represents 16.1% or almost 1 in 6 of all households living in the community.

The 2011 Census reported that there were 14,755 individuals (18.1%) living in the City of Nanaimo who were living in poverty in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on Statistics Canada Low Income Measure After Tax (LIM-AT)<sup>5</sup>. Across the Nanaimo Region a total of 23,475 people (16.5%) were living in low income.

#### (d) Labour Force and Employment

The overall level of labour force participation in the City of Nanaimo was 61.9% which was somewhat lower than the Provincial rate of 64.6%. The employment rate was also somewhat lower than the rate seen in the Province as a whole (56.2% versus 59.5% across BC). However, there was a higher prevalence of part-time employment reported for Nanaimo when compared to the Province (26.2% compared to 21.9% across BC).

In 2011, there were 43,100 individuals living in the City of Nanaimo 15 or older who were part of the labour force.

The median employment income in Nanaimo was \$44,412 in 2011 (2010 incomes). This is almost \$5,000 or 9.6% less than the median employment income reported for the Province (\$49,143).

Of the 43,100 individuals in the City of Nanaimo who were 15 or older and who were eligible to work, 29,135 reported that they worked full-time in 2010. This represents 67.6% of the work force as compared to 72.8% across the Province as a whole. There were also 11,275 individuals living in Nanaimo who reported that they worked part time. This represents approximately 26.2% of the work force.

Of those living in Nanaimo and who were in the labour force, the 2011 National Household Survey reported that they worked an average of 43.6 weeks in 2010. This is relatively aligned with the rate reported for the Province as a whole (43.9 weeks).

While having employment can help provide increased economic security and stability, single person households (with their dependence on a single income) can experience a higher degree of housing stress and financial insecurity.

Across single person households in Nanaimo in 2011, the median household income was \$27,843 while the average household income was \$34,268. Both the median and average incomes in Nanaimo were significantly lower than the comparable rates seen across BC. The median income was 10.9% lower (\$27,843 in Nanaimo versus \$31,236 across BC) while the average income was 14.9% lower than the Provincial average (\$34,268 compared to \$40,265 across BC).

<sup>5</sup> LIM-AT means "low income measure (after tax) and is a measure that was developed by Statistics Canada to track and report on poverty. LIM-AT reports on the number of households (before and after tax) who have an income which falls below 50% of the median household income while adjusting for difference in household sizes. In 2011, the income cut-off for a single person household based on LIM-AT was an annual household income of \$19,460 after-tax while the income cut-off for a two person household was \$25,721. For a family of three or four the income cut-off was between \$33,706 and \$38,920.

#### (e) Housing

#### i) Household Size and Composition

The 2011 Census reported that there were 36,200 households living in the City of Nanaimo in 2011. Of these households, 30.2% were single person households which is marginally higher than the average across BC (28.3%). There was also a total of 10,920 individuals who were living in non-family arrangements in Nanaimo. This can include single person households living on their own as well as two or more unrelated individuals sharing their housing.

#### ii) Housing Types

The 21,335 single detached housing units in the City of Nanaimo comprise a majority (58.9%) of the entire housing stock (36,210 units) and is significantly higher than the Provincial average (47.7% of the total stock). Apartment units make up 21.4% of the total stock, while 17.3% of the units were row house, townhouse or duplex units (9,980 units). There were also 825 households living in manufactured homes/moveable dwellings (2.3% of the entire housing stock).

#### iii) Condition of the Stock

Of the 36,205 housing units in Nanaimo, 15.3% were built prior to 1960 compared to 16.0% across BC. Almost half (49.5%) of the stock was built between 1961 and 1990. An additional 20.2% was built between 1991 and 2001. The years between 2001 and 2011 saw construction of 15.1% of the housing stock in Nanaimo compared to a rate of 16.6% across the Province.

The 2011 National Household Survey showed that the large majority of the housing stock in Nanaimo is in good repair. Some 33,895 units (93.6% of the housing stock) were identified as requiring only regular maintenance or minor repairs, while 2,310 units (6.4% of the total housing stock) were in need of major repairs. Across the Province 7.2% of the housing stock was in need of major repairs.

#### iv) Tenure

#### Owners

Of the 36,205 households living in Nanaimo, 71.8% or 25,965 households were owners while 10,215 households (28.2%) were renters.

The median monthly payment for owners living in Nanaimo in 2011 was \$948 per month (2010 rates) based on information reported in the 2011 Census.

At \$948 the monthly cost of housing for owners living in Nanaimo is lower than the comparable median monthly owners' payments reported across the Province (\$1,023). A majority of owner households in Nanaimo (58.9%) carried a mortgage compared to 57.3% of owners across BC.

#### Renters

More than 1 in 4 households in Nanaimo are renters (28.2%). This translates into 10,215 households. Of the renter households living in the City of Nanaimo, the median monthly shelter cost was \$849 which is modestly lower than the median monthly shelter costs of \$903 across BC.

In October 2013, CMHC reported average monthly rents of \$741 for the City of Nanaimo and a vacancy rate of 5.3% across all units. This is lower than the vacancy rate in 2012 which was 6.7%. It should be noted that the vacancy rate for 1-bedroom units is 4.9%. This has decreased from a rate of 5.6% in the previous year.

#### (f) Housing market conditions

#### (i) Ownership

The rate of ownership in Nanaimo is 71.8% which is slightly higher than the Provincial average (69.8%). The 2011 National Household Survey reported an average dwelling value of \$357,234 for ownership housing in Nanaimo (2010 values) which is more than one-third (34.3%) lower the Provincial average (\$543,635). Similarly, the median dwelling value of a unit in Nanaimo is \$348,460 which is 22.4% lower than the Provincial median. It should be kept in mind, however, that the Provincial average and median prices reflect the particularly high real estate prices that can be seen in the Lower Mainland area of the Province.

#### (ii) Rental

The 2011 National Household Survey identified a total of 10,215 renter households living in Nanaimo. Most of these households live in either purpose-built rental housing or social housing. In addition, some renters in Nanaimo live in rented secondary suites or single detached homes.

The 2011 National Household Survey showed that 13.8% of renter households living in the community were living in subsidized housing. This translates into 1,410 households living in subsidized housing in Nanaimo.

This number of subsidized renters is a relatively new measure reported by Statistics Canada as part of the National Household Survey and requires some analysis in order to fully understand the implications. One important result is that it is possible to see that the proportion of Nanaimo residents receiving some level of housing assistance (13.8%) was comparable to the rate across the Province as a whole (13.5%).

#### **Private Rental Housing**

As noted above, there are 10,215 renter households living in Nanaimo. The average monthly rent as reported by CMHC for a purpose-built rental unit in Nanaimo is \$741. For a household to be able to carry the cost of this housing without spending more than 30% of their income on their housing costs, it would need an annual income of \$29,640.

In looking at the rent ranges in Nanaimo, CMHC reported an average market rent of \$565 per month for a bachelor unit and \$686 per month for a 1-bedroom unit. While these rents are relatively affordable for some renter households, it is important to note that they are not affordable to households earning a minimum wage, or relying on income assistance. Similarly, rents at this level would be challenging for low income single seniors relying on OAS/GIS.

#### (g) Housing Affordability Challenges

The 2011 Census also reported that more than half of all renters (52.7%) living in Nanaimo were spending 30% or more of their income on their housing costs in 2010. This translates into 5,383 renter households.

At the time of the 2006 Census, 16.3% of all renter households in the City of Nanaimo (1,610 households) were spending 50% or more of their income on their housing costs. These households are considered to face extreme affordability

challenges and are at increased risk of losing their housing or becoming homeless. A total of 2,285 renter households across the Nanaimo Region spend 50% or more of their income on their housing costs (16.1% of renter households across the Region). Of the 2,285 households across the region who are in this situation, 70.5% (1,610 households) live in the City of Nanaimo.

#### (h) Vulnerable and At Risk Households

The 2011 Census reported that 14,755 individuals (18.1%) living in Nanaimo are in low income based on LIM-AT. This is somewhat higher than the comparable rate of 16.4% seen across the Province.

This includes approximately 3,115 children and youth under the age of 18 (21.1%) who are living in families that are in poverty, as well as 995 children who are under the age of 6 (20.9%). It also includes 1,990 seniors 65 years or older (13.2%). While the rates of low income in Nanaimo are higher for children under 6 and for those 6-18 when compared to the Province as a whole, the rate of low income for seniors is marginally lower in Nanaimo when compared to seniors across the Province.

Aboriginal people are frequently over-represented among those who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. Data from the 2011 Census and National Household Survey shows that Aboriginal people account for 7.6% of the population in Nanaimo and 7.3% of the population within the Region. This translates into 6,180 Aboriginal residents in the City and 10,395 in the Region. In looking at ways to effectively respond to the needs of Aboriginal people and communities, it is important to ensure that the services and supports that are provided are culturally responsive and that the history of Aboriginal people and communities in BC is recognized.

# Conclusions

The review of the housing need and demand indicators and community research as set out in this report suggests that there are significant gaps in the continuum of housing and services in the City of Nanaimo and the Nanaimo Region. This includes gaps on the supply-side (a shortage of units that are affordable to households living in the community) and on the demand-side (targeted housing and supports to better meet the specific needs of vulnerable and at risk groups). All of the research suggests that there are a number of families and individuals in the Nanaimo Region who are facing real challenges in finding and keeping suitable housing and who do not have the resources they need to find housing in the private rental market without some type of assistance and support.

# **Selected References**

Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation, Rental Market Report (Fall 2013)

Statistics Canada. 2011 Census

Statistics Canada 2011. National Household Survey

# SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC PROFILE

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOL	D GROWTH			
	2001	2006	2011	The 2011 Census shows that the population in the City of Nanaimo has
Province	3,907,738	4,113,487	4,400,057	continued to increase.
Increase/Decrease		205,749	286,570	Patwaan 2006 and 2011, the population grow by over 5,000 individuals
% Increase		5.3	7.0	<ul> <li>Between 2006 and 2011, the population grew by over 5,000 individuals.</li> <li>This represents an increase of 6.5%.</li> </ul>
Nanaimo Region	127,016	138,631	146,574	This represents an increase of 0.5%.
Increase/Decrease		11,615	7,943	The 2011 Census shows that the Nanaimo Region also grew during this
% Change		8.4	5.7	time period by almost 8,000 individuals or 5.7%.
Nanaimo City	73,000	78,692	83,810	
Increase/Decrease		5,692	5,118	
ې % Change		7.2	6.5	
HOUSEHOLD GROWTH				
	2001	2006	2011	The number of households in Nanaimo has also continued to grow.
Province	1,534,335	1,643,150	1,764,635	Patwaan 2006 and 2011 the number of households in Nanaima arow
Increase/Decrease		108,815	121,485	Between 2006 and 2011 the number of households in Nanaimo grew by 2,684 households. This represents an increase of 7.4% and is an
% Change		6.6	7.4	- indicator of on-going housing demand.
Nanaimo Region	54,260	59,875	64,463	indicator of on going nousing activitie.
Increase/Decrease		5,615	4,588	
% Change		9.4	7.1	
Nanaimo City	30,670	33,520	36,204	
Increase/Decrease		2,850	2,684	
% Change		8.5	7.4	

#### POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD AGE PROFILE

POPULATION

Nanaimo		
%		
100.0		
26.7		
11.8		
11.7		
15.0		
15.0		
19.7		

တ္တ HOUSEHOLD

	Prov	ince	Nanaimo	o Region	Nanaimo		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Total	1,764,640	100.0	64,465	100.0	36,205	100.0	
Under 25	57,815	3.3	1,725	2.7	1,345	3.7	
25 – 34	235,955	13.4	6,075	9.4	4,465	12.3	
35 – 44	308,825	17.5	8,265	12.8	5,395	14.9	
45 – 54	396,785	22.5	12,855	19.9	7,530	20.8	
55 – 64	354,395	20.1	14,495	22.5	7,395	20.4	
65+	410,865	23.3	21,055	32.7	10,075	27.8	

The 2011 Census shows that the age profile of the residents of Nanaimo is generally comparable to that of the Province as a whole. 38.5% of Nanaimo residents are under the age of 35 compared to 40.8% across the Province. Those between the ages of 35 and 54 account for 26.7% of the population in Nanaimo compared to 28.1% across the Province. Those 55 or older account for 34.7% of the population in Nanaimo versus 29.7% across the Province.

The 2011 Census shows that the Nanaimo Region has an older age profile than the Province as a whole. Only 35.3% of the Regional population is under the age of 35 (compared to 38.5% in the City), while 40.7% are 55 or older (compared to 34.7% in the City).

#### FAMILY AND HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLD MAINTAINERS

#### NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLD MAINTAINERS

	-						
	Prov	ince	Nanaimo	o Region	Nanaimo		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Total	1,764,635	100.0	64,465	100.0	36,205	100.0	
1 Household Maintainer	1,038,910	58.9	37,345	57.9	21,750	60.1	
2 Household Maintainers	673,945	38.2	25,670	39.8	13,440	37.1	
3+ Household Maintainers	51,775	2.9	1,460	2.3	1,015	2.8	
HOUSEHOLD SIZE							
	Prov	ince	Nanaimo	o Region	N	anaimo	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Total	1,764,640	100.0	64,460	100.0	36,200	100.0	
1 Person	498,925	28.3	18,475	28.7	10,915	30.2	
2 People	613,270	34.8	27,835	43.2	14,080	38.9	
3 People	264,135	15.0	8,440	13.1	5,250	14.5	
4 People	237,725	13.5	6,565	10.2	3,990	11.0	
5 People	91,600	5.2	2,185	3.4	1,355	3.7	
6 or more People	58,985	3.3	960	1.5	610	1.7	
FAMILY/HOUSEHOLD	ARRANGE	MENTS (CE	ENSUS FAI	MILY AND	NON-CEM	NSUS FAMILY)	
	Prov	ince	Nanaimo	o Region	N	anaimo	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Total	1,764,640	100.0	64,460	100.0	36,200	100.0	
Census Families	1,183,075	67.0	43,155	66.9	23,325	64.4	
Single parent Families	143,445	8.1	5,025	7.8	3,270	9.0	
Non Family Households	498,925	33.0	18,480	28.7	10,920	30.2	
Multi-Family Households	141,600	8.0	3,625	5.6	2,325	6.4	

While the difference is modest, there are more households with a single household maintainer in Nanaimo than across the Region or the Province (60.1% in the City compared to 58.9% across the Province).

The City of Nanaimo has a slightly higher proportion of single person households than is seen across the Province with 30.2% of all households in Nanaimo being 1 person households compared to 28.3% across the Province. More than 1 in 3 households (38.9%) in Nanaimo are two person households which is larger than the 34.8% of 2 person households that can be seen across the Province. An additional 37.4% are households of 3 or more—comparable to the 37.0% of households across the Province which are 3 or more persons.

The City of Nanaimo has a smaller proportion of census family households (64.4% of all households) when compared with the Region (66.9%) or the Province (67.0%). At the same time, Nanaimo has a larger proportion of single parent families (9.0% of all households) compared with 7.8% across the Region and 8.1% across the Province as a whole. The City also has fewer non-family households (30.2%) compared with the Province (33.0%)

# LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATION

	LABOUR MARKET PARTICIPATIC	N			
		Province	Nanaimo Region	Nanaimo	The
	Individuals 15 or older in the labour	2,354,245	70,655	43,100	com
	market				full
	Participation rate	64.6	57	61.9	72.8
	Employment rate	59.5	51.9	56.2	also
	Unemployment rate	7.8	8.9	9.2	Мес
	Average Weeks Worked (2010)	43.9	43.3	43.6	mo
	Worked Full-Time (2010)	1,713,540	47,575	29,135	(\$44
	% reporting full-time employment	72.8	67.3	67.6	the
	Worked Part-Time (2010)	516,465	18,995	11,275	inco
	% reporting part-time employment	21.9	26.9	26.2	
γ	Median commuting time (in min.)	20.4	15.3	15.1	
	Average Employment Income	\$58,016	\$50,204	\$50,034	
	Median Employment Income	\$49,143	\$44,846	\$44,412	
	HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
		Average Income	Median Ir	ncome	Med
	Province	\$77,378	\$60,3	33	com
	Nanaimo Region	\$65,531	\$53,7	80	to \$
	Nanaimo City	\$64,189	\$52,7	44	sing
	SINGLE PERSON HOUSEHOLDS				the
		Average Income	Median Ir	ncome	Prov
	Province	\$40,265	\$31,2	36	
	Nanaimo Region	\$34,800	\$27,5	45	
	Nanaimo City	\$34,268	\$27,8	43	

The employment rate in Nanaimo is slightly lower when compared to that of the Province, including those reporting full time employment (67.6% in Prince George compared to 72.8 across the Province). However, the unemployment rate is also higher (9.2% compared to 7.8%).

Median employment income is significantly lower in Nanaimo than it is when compared to the BC median income (\$44,143 compared to \$49,143 across BC). Put differently, the median income in Nanaimo is only 90.3% of the median income across the Province.

Median household income is also lower in Nanaimo than the omparable Provincial figure (\$52,744 in Nanaimo compared o \$60,333 across BC). In addition, the median income for ingle person households is lower in Nanaimo compared to the Province of BC (\$27,843 compared to \$31,236 across the Province).

87

<b>INCOME PROFILE</b>							
	Prov	vince	Nanaim	o Region	Nan	aimo	The City of Nanaimo h
	#	%	#	%	#	%	of its population with
Total	1,761,789	100.0	64,475	100.0	36,205	100.0	\$30,000 compared to
Under \$10K	96,435	5.5	3,090	4.8	1,935	5.3	Nanaimo versus 23.3%
\$10K to \$19,999	156,565	8.9	6,235	9.7	3,905	10.8	At the upper end of th
\$20K to \$29,999	157,605	8.9	6,690	10.4	3,715	10.3	cantly less people in N
\$30K to \$39,999	167,220	9.5	7,025	10.9	3,735	10.3	<ul> <li>of \$60,000 or more (4</li> <li>Province (50.3%).</li> </ul>
\$40K to \$49,999	158,400	9.0	6,955	10.8	3,875	10.7	
\$50K to \$59,999	140,340	8.0	5,855	9.1	3,330	9.2	The proportion of hou
\$60K to \$79,999	246,720	14.0	9,555	14.8	5,205	14.4	mo Region which hav
\$80K to \$99,999	193,180	11.0	7,105	11.0	3,960	10.9	\$60,000 is also lower
\$100K or more	445,324	25.3	11,965	18.6	6,545	18.1	(44.4% versus 53.4% a

The City of Nanaimo has a larger proportion of its population with an income of less than 30,000 compared to the Province (26.4% in lanaimo versus 23.3% across the Province). At the upper end of the income scale, signifiantly less people in Nanaimo have incomes of \$60,000 or more (43.4%) compared to the province (50.3%).

The proportion of households in the Nanaimo Region which have incomes in excess of \$60,000 is also lower than the BC average 44.4% versus 53.4% across BC).

# **B. HOUSING CHOICES, HOUSING NEEDS AND HOUSING MARKET CONDITIONS**

#### ABOUT THE HOUSING STOCK

	Province		Nanaim	o Region	Nanaimo		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	sir
Total Units	1,764,635	100.0	64,460	100.0	36,210	100.0	47
Single Detached	842,120	47.7	43,820	68.0	21,335	58.9	un un
Apartment	505,120	28.6	9,130	14.2	7,765	21.4	
Row house /Town- house	186,075	10.5	5,455	8.5	3,410	9.4	Na ac
Apartment Duplex	184,355	10.4	3,275	5.1	2,876	7.9	the f
Movable Dwelling	46,960	2.7	2,780	4.3	825	2.3	01

The majority of housing units in Nanaimo are ingle family units (58.9%) compared to only 7.7% across the Province. More than 2 in 3 nits (68.0%) in the Region are single detached nits.

Nanaimo has fewer apartment units than across the Province (21.4% versus 28.6%) although this figure is higher than the proportion of apartment units across the Region (14.2%).

AGE OF THE HOU	USING STOCK										
	Provir			o Region		naimo		Almost half (47.4%) of the housing in Nanaim			
	#	%	#	%	#		%	was built before 1980. Between 2001 and			
Total Units	1,764,630	100.0	64,465	100.0	36,205	1	00.0	2011 there were 5,465 units added in the			
1960 or before	282,675	16.0	7,740	12.0	5,535		15.3	City and approximately 10,825 units added			
1961 to 1980	551,655	31.3	18,815	29.2	11,250		31.1	region-wide. This represents 15.1% of the tota			
1981 to 1990	308,450	17.5	12,745	19.8	6,645		18.4	<ul> <li>housing stock in the City and 16.8% of the</li> <li>Regional housing stock.</li> </ul>			
1991 to 2000	329,780	18.7	14,335	22.2	7,310	i	20.2				
2001 to 2005	133,235	7.6	5,350	8.3	2,470		6.8				
2006 to 2011	158,845	9.0	5,475	8.5	2,995		8.3				
CONDITION OF	THE HOUSING	STOCK						When looking at the condition of the housing			
	Provir	nce	Nanaimo	o Region	Nar	naimo		stock, it emerges that a slightly smaller propor- tion of the units in both the City and Region			
	#	%	#	%	#		%	of Nanaimo are in need of major repairs when			
Total Stock	1,764,630	100.0	64,465	100.0	36,205	1	0.00	compared to the Province as a whole. Overall,			
Minor repairs	1,636,875	92.8	60,545	93.9	33,895	(	93.6	<ul> <li>6.4% of the housing in the City and 6.1% of</li> <li>the housing in the Region is in need of major</li> <li>repairs compared to 7.2% of the stock in the</li> <li>Province.</li> </ul>			
Major repairs	127,760	7.2	3,920	6.1	2,310		6.4				
HOUSING TYPE	AND TENURE										
	Ow	ners		Rei	nters		More th	nan 1 in 4 households living in the City of Nanai-			
	#	%		#	%		mo are	renters (28.2%). The average monthly housing			
Province	1,202,000	69.8	519	9,855	30.2			r owners living in Nanaimo was \$1,051 per month			
Nanaimo Region	49,515	77.5	14	,385	22.5		-	n \$948) while the average monthly shelter cost			
Nanaimo City	25,965	71.8	10	,215	28.2		for renters was \$901 (\$850 median).				
AVERAGE HOUSI	NG COSTS						For a h	ousehold to carry the monthly shelter cost with-			
	Average Owners' Payments 2011	Median Owners Payments 2011	Average Re	enters' Month- ients 2011	Median Renters' Mo Payments 201		out spe	ending more than 30% of their income on their g, they would need an annual income of \$37,920			
Province	\$1,228	\$1,023	\$!	989	\$903			s) and \$33,960 (renters).			
Nanaimo Region	\$970	\$751	\$	901	\$850		נטשוופוטן פווע גטט,טטט נופוונפוטן.				
Nanaimo City	\$1,051	\$948	\$9	900	\$849						

ACCESS TO THE OV	VNERSHIP MA	ARKET						
		Province	Nanaimo Region	Nanaimo	The rat	e of ownership in the City and Region of Nanaimo is slightly		
Total Households		1,764,635	64,465 36,205		5	higher than the Province as a whole. However, well over half of all		
Number of Owners		1,202,000	49,515	25,965		owners in Nanaimo (58.9%) still have a mortgage which is slightly		
Part of Condo		397,105	8,235	5,690	3	than the proportion across BC (57.3%).		
% of Owners		68.1	76.8	71.7		age monthly housing costs for owners in Nanaimo are		
% with a Mortgage		57.3	53.2	58.9		relatively affordable when compared to the Province as whole with the median dwelling value of a unit in the City of Nanaimo being approximately \$100,000 less expensive than the median dwelling value reported for the Province as a whole (348,460 in the City of		
Average Dwelling Value		\$543,635	\$399,457 \$357,234					
Median Dwelling Value		\$448,835	\$359,400 \$348,460					
Average Monthly Payments		\$1,228	\$970	\$1,051		imo versus \$448,835 across the Province).		
Median Monthly Payments		\$1,023	\$751	\$948				
RENTAL MARKET CO	ONDITIONS							
AVERAGE MARKET F	RENTS							
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Approximately 1 in 5 households living in the City of		
Bachelor	\$509	\$519	\$538	\$547	\$565	Nanaimo are renters (21.8%).		
1-bedroom	\$629	\$648	\$661	\$665	\$686	The average monthly shelter cost for renters in Nanaimo was \$741/month. For a household to carry the monthly		
2-bedroom	\$768	\$789	\$802	\$795	\$806	shelter cost without spending more than 30% of their		
3+ bedroom	\$922	\$957	\$955	\$960	\$1,051	income on their housing, it would need an annual income		
Total	\$686	\$705	\$720	\$719	\$741	of \$29,640.		
VACANCY RATES						Based on the most recent Rental Housing Report pub- lished by CMHC, the average market rent was \$565 for a		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	bachelor unit and \$686 for a 1-bedroom unit.		
Bachelor	1.5	1.6	7.3	2.9	2.4	These rent levels are affordable to a household with an		
1-bedroom	2.8	2.4	5.3	5.6	4.9	annual income of between \$22,600 and \$27,440. This		
2-bedroom	4.4	4.8	7.6	9.0	6.6	is aligned with the median income in the community for single person households. However, it is important		
3+ bedroom	3.2	1.7	3.9	5.5	4.0	to note that there were 5,840 households in the City of		
Total	3.4	3.3	6.3	6.7	5.3	Nanaimo which had an annual income of under \$20,0 (16.1% of total households).		

HOUSING AFFC	RDABILITY PRESSUR	RES		
HOUSEHOLDS	IN CORE HOUSING N	NEED AND "WORST	Γ CASE NEED"	
Renter Households Spending 30% or More of Income on Housing 2011 National Household Survey			More than half (52.7%) of renters in the City of Nanaimo are spending 30% or more of the income on housing. This is significantly higher than the rate across the Province (45.3%).	
Province 235,494 45.3		45.3	A greater proportion of renters in the City of Nanaimo are also in worst case need (paying 50% or	
Nanaimo Region	aimo Region 7,567 52.6			
Nanaimo City	5,383	52.7	more of their income on housing) when compared to the Province as a whole (16.3% of renters	
Renter Households	Spending 50% or More of 2006 Census	of Income on Housing	in Nanaimo are in worst case need compared to 13.4% of renters across BC).	
Province	64,885	13.4		
Nanaimo Region	2,285	16.1		
Nanaimo City	1,610	16.3		
ACCESS TO SO	CIAL HOUSING			
	Number Assisted	%	A marginally higher proportion of renters in the City of Nanaimo are in social housing (13.8%	
Province	70,180	13.5	compared to the Provincial totals (13.5%).	
Nanaimo Region	1,697	11.8		
Nanaimo City	1,410	13.8		

# **VULNERABLE AND AT RISK POPULATIONS**

INDIVIDUALS LIV	ING IN LOW INCOM	ME	
	#	%	Sta
Province	696,850	16.4	Th
Nanaimo Region	23,475	16.5	on
Nanaimo City	14,755	18.1	con ho the the in ho for

#### INDIVIDUALS LIVING IN LOW INCOME

Statistics Canada uses a number of measures to report on households living in low income. These can include both before tax and after tax measures. The information in this section reports on the incidence of individual in low income in 2011 (2010 incomes) based on LIM-AT (low income measure after tax). This measure is a fixed percentage (50%) of the median adjusted after-tax household income and takes into account differences in household size. Based on this measure, the incidence of households living in low income in the City of Nanaimo is slightly higher than the Province as a whole. There were a total of 14,755 individuals (18.1% of the total population) in the City who had an income below the established income cut-off. For a single person household the income cut-off for 2011 (2010 incomes) was \$19,460 while the income cut-off for a two person household was \$25,721.

CHILDREN UND	DER 6 LIVING	IN LOW INC	COME			
	#	%	There were 995 children under 6 living in families who were in low income based on the information reported			
Province	47,725	18.5	in the 2011 Census using LIM-AT (low income measure after tax) as the threshold. This represents more than			
Nanaimo Region	1,615	22.6	1 in 5 children in this age cohort. This figure includes both single parent family households as well as working			
Nanaimo City	995	21.0	poor families. For a single parent family household with one child, the established income threshold is \$25,721 while a three person household would be considered to be in low income at \$33,706. A couple with two children who had an after-tax income of \$38,920 would also be considered to be in low income under this measure. It should also be noted that the incidence of low income among children under 6 is higher than that seen across the Province where 18.5% live in low income.			
CHILDREN AND	YOUTH (UN	IDER 18) LIVI	NG IN LOW INCOME			
	#	%	There were also 3,115 children under the age of 18 in Nanaimo who were living in families with incomes that			
Province	157,250	19.1	were below the established income thresholds and who were considered to be living in poverty. Once again,			
Nanaimo Region	4,965	21.3	the incidence of children between the ages of 6 and 18 living in low income is higher for both the City of			
Nanaimo City	3,115	21.4	Nanaimo and the Nanaimo Region when compared to the Province as a whole.			
SENIORS (65+) [	LIVING IN LO	W INCOME				
	#	%	Nanaimo had a slightly lower incidence of seniors living in poverty compared to the Province as a whole. Of			
Province	88,235	13.9	those 65 or older, 13.2% living in Nanaimo were in low income compared to 13.9% across the Province (2010			
Nanaimo Region	3,490	10.8	incomes). This is slightly below the incidence of low income reported across the Province and includes both			
Nanaimo City	1,990	13.2	low income single seniors and couples. A single senior would be considered to be in low income if their af- ter-tax income was \$19,460 or less while a senior couple would be considered to be in low income if their after tax income was at or below \$25,721			
ABORIGINAL PE	OPLE					
	#	%				
Province	267,085	6.2	<ul> <li>Both the City and the Region of Nanaimo have a higher incidence of aboriginal residents when compared</li> <li>the Province as a whole. Approximately 7.6% of the City's residents are Aboriginal, compared to 6.2% acros</li> <li>the Province, this represents 6,180 individuals in the City of Nanaimo.</li> </ul>			
Nanaimo Region	10,395	7.3				
Nanaimo City	6,180	7.6				